

# $Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub>$  and  $Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub>$ : Two New Polar High-Pressure Ordered **Corundum-Type Compounds**

*Published as part of Chemistry of Materials [special](https://pubs.acs.org/curated-content?journal=cmatex&ref=feature) issue "In Memory of Prof. Francis DiSalvo".*

Alessia [Provino,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Alessia+Provino"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Thomas](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Thomas+J.+Emge"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) J. Emge, David [Walker,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="David+Walker"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Corey E. [Frank,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Corey+E.+Frank"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Suguru [Yoshida,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Suguru+Yoshida"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Venkatraman](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Venkatraman+Gopalan"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Gopalan, Mark [Croft,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Mark+Croft"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Zheng](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Zheng+Deng"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Deng, [Changqing](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Changqing+Jin"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Jin, Pietro [Manfrinetti,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Pietro+Manfrinetti"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) and Martha [Greenblatt](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Martha+Greenblatt"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[\\*](#page-14-0)



and Mn cations, respectively, for these  $A_2BB'O_6$  compounds. SHG measurements for  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$  indicate that it is noncentrosymmetric and confirm the polar *R*3 (no. 146) space group strongly implied by single-crystal reflection data. The magnetic measurements reveal spin-glass behavior with antiferromagnetic (AFM) interactions in both compounds and a frustration factor (*f*) being significantly larger for Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub> (*f*  $\approx$  20) compared to Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub> (*f*  $\approx$  7). While Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub> exhibits AFM ordering at a Neel temperature ( $T_N$ ) of 9 K, Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub> shows magnetic ordering around 4 K. Additionally, the negative Curie− Weiss temperatures for both compounds corroborate the presence of AFM exchange interactions.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

In the ever-evolving field of materials science, transition metal double perovskites (DPs)  $A_2BB'O_6$  (where A, B, and B' are different cations) stand out as an intriguing and versatile class of compounds that has captured the interest of researchers worldwide in the pursuit of innovative materials with tailored properties.<sup>[1](#page-15-0)−[7](#page-15-0)</sup> Since then, several DPs have been reported and among them are  $Sr_2FeReO_6$  and  $Sr_2FeMoO_6$ , the latter showing room temperature (RT) colossal magnetoresistance. $8-10$  $8-10$  $8-10$ 

graphically related, OIL components in a ratio of approximately 6:1. XANES analysis shows  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  and  $Mn<sup>3+</sup>$  as formal oxidation states for Fe

In contrast to the simple cubic perovskite  $\text{ABO}_3$ , DPs differ by incorporating two distinct B and B′ transition metal cations within the crystal lattice. This dual inclusion gives rise to distinctive crystal and electronic structures which, jointly with a flexible choice of chemical composition, make them an almost limitless source of novel materials showcasing a multitude of interesting physical properties such as multiferroicity, ferroelectricity, colossal magnetoresistance, thermoelectricity, piezoelectricity, superconductivity, metallic, halfmetallic, and insulating behaviors, second harmonic generation (SHG) effect, ionic conductivity, catalytic properties, high thermopower, and several magnetic properties such as ferromagnetism (FM), antiferromagnetism (AFM), and ferrimagnetism  $(FIM).^{11-22}$  $(FIM).^{11-22}$  $(FIM).^{11-22}$  $(FIM).^{11-22}$  $(FIM).^{11-22}$  While the crystal structure of the simple cubic  $\text{ABO}_3$  consists of corner-sharing  $\text{BO}_6$  octahedra extending by translational symmetry in three dimensions (3D) and of 12-fold coordinated A cations filling the cavities created by the  $BO<sub>6</sub>$  octahedra, the DP structure is similar to  $ABO<sub>3</sub>$  with respect to ordering of the B/B′ cations usually on alternate octahedral sites and rarely in a layered arrangement. This structural feature is responsible for the extraordinary physical



Downloaded via INST OF PHYSICS on December 19, 2024 at 10:58:09 (UTC).<br>See https://pubs.<mark>acs.org/sharingguidelines for options on how to legitimately share publishe</mark>d articles. See https://pubs.acs.org/sharingguidelines for options on how to legitimately share published articles.Downloaded via INST OF PHYSICS on December 19, 2024 at 10:58:09 (UTC).



## <span id="page-1-0"></span>Table 1. Comparative List of Crystallographic Information for Most of the Determined Structures of NTO-like Phases<sup>[69](#page-17-0)</sup>



properties typical of perovskite compounds. Depending on the size and charge of A and B cations, $1,15,23$  $1,15,23$  $1,15,23$  $1,15,23$  $1,15,23$  as well as the synthesis conditions such as high pressures and high temperatures, the formation of new phases can be induced by not only the choice of cations but also by altering the atomic arrangement and crystal structure. Properties have been tailored by manipulating the highly flexible chemical

composition of A and B cations and by applying a high pressure and high temperature during synthesis.<sup>[23](#page-16-0)−</sup>

The stability of  $ABO_3$  or  $A_2BB'O_6$  perovskite and related structures can be roughly predicted by the Goldschmidt tolerance factor, *t* {where *t* is defined as  $t = (r_A + r_O)/\sqrt{2}$  ( $r_B + r_O$ )  $r_{\rm O}$ ), where  $r_{\rm A}$ ,  $r_{\rm B}$ , and  $r_{\rm O}$  are the ionic radii of the A, B/B', and O ions<sup>24</sup>}. If  $t = 0.9$  to 1.0, where the A and B/B' cations have

an ideal size, a "perfect" cubic perovskite crystallizing in the SrTiO<sub>3</sub> phase type (*cP5*, *Pm-3m*, no. 221) is possible. However, in most cases, the symmetry is lower than cubic. For  $t > 1$ , where the A cations are large or  $B/B'$  cations are small, the hexagonal BaNiO<sub>3</sub> phase type (*hP10*, *P6*<sub>3</sub>/*mmc*, no. 194) is adopted; for *t* = 0.85−0.9, where the A cations are much smaller than  $B/B'$ , the orthorhombic  $GdFeO<sub>3</sub>$  type (*oP*20, *Pnma*, no. 62) is formed; and for *t* < 0.85, where the A and B cations are comparable in size, a rhombohedral crystal structure typical of either the LiNbO<sub>3</sub>-type (hR30, R3c, no. 161) (LN), or TiFeO<sub>3</sub>-type (hR30, R-3, no, 148) (Ilmenite, IL), or Ni<sub>3</sub>TeO<sub>6</sub>-type (hR30, R3, no. 146) (NTO) is observed. Therefore, depending on the relative size of A and B cations and on temperature and pressure synthesis conditions, while the chemical formula  $\text{ABO}_3$  or  $\text{A}_2\text{BB'O}_6$  remains, the structure and symmetry can vary dramatically. The  $BO<sub>6</sub>$  and  $B'O<sub>6</sub>$ octahedra can undergo distortions such as elongation or contraction (similar to Jahn−Teller distortion) and/or tilting, and the A-cation site can shift from its ideal position into two or more different Wyckoff sites, giving rise to several possible structural prototypes. Among them, the cubic perovskite  $SrTiO<sub>3</sub>$ -type is nonpolar (NP) centrosymmetric (CS) with A and B cations occupying the 1*b* and 1*a* Wyckoff sites, respectively (with A larger than B), and O anions the 3*d* site. The hexagonal  $BaNiO<sub>3</sub>$  type is NP-CS, and A and B cations fill two sites with symmetry 2*d* and 2*a*, respectively, while the O anions occupy the 6*h* site. The orthorhombic GdFeO<sub>3</sub> type is NP-CS, and A and B occupy two sites with symmetry 4*c* and 4*a* sites, respectively, while the O anions occupy another 4*c* site and a 8*d* site. The rhombohedral LiNbO<sub>3</sub> type  $(LN)$  is polar (P) noncentrosymmetric (NCS), and A and B cations occupy two different crystallographically ordered 6*a* sites, while O anions fill the 18 $b$  site. The rhombohedral TiFe $O<sub>3</sub>$  type is NP-CS, and A and B cations statistically occupy two different 6*c* sites, while O anions occupy the 18*f* site. The rhombohedral  $Ni<sub>3</sub>TeO<sub>6</sub>$  type  $(Ni<sub>2</sub>NiTeO<sub>6</sub>)$  is P-NCS, and A and B cations occupy four different 3*c* Wyckoff sites (two 3*c* sites are generally occupied by A cations and two 3*c* sites are typically filled by B cations), while O anions fill two sites with 9*b* symmetry.

The P or NCS phases with corundum-based structures, LN and NTO types, whose crystal structure lacks symmetry operations that cancel dipole moments and result in a nonzero electric dipole moment, are of great interest due to their symmetry-dependent properties, i.e., multiferroicity, piezoelectricity, ferroelectricity, spintronics, and SHG effect. The design and synthesis of these corundum-based structures is not an easy task due the fact that the NP-CS perovskites tend to be more thermodynamically stable than the P-NCS ones. The formation of P-NCS corundum-type LN and NTO phases with small transition metal  $(T)$  cations may be stabilized and their synthesis favored but only under extreme synthesis conditions of high pressures and high temperatures (HPHT).<sup>[13](#page-15-0)[,28](#page-16-0)−[30](#page-16-0)</sup> Corundum-type phases with T cations at both the A and B/B′ sites continue to attract significant attention because of their potentially useful physical properties.<sup>[10,](#page-15-0)[31](#page-16-0)-[42](#page-16-0)</sup> Among the A2BB′O6 corundum-type phases prepared under HPHT reported in the literature are the LN-type P-NCS  $Mn_2FeNbO_6$ and  $Mn_2FeTaO_6$  (both prepared under  $P = 7$  GPa and at  $T =$ 1573 K),<sup>[13](#page-15-0)</sup> the NTO-type P-NCS  $Mn_2FeWO_6$  and  $\text{Mn}_2\text{FeMoO}_6$  (both prepared under *P* = 8 GPa and at *T* = 1623 K),<sup>[14,](#page-15-0)[43](#page-16-0)</sup> the IL-type NP-CS  $Mn_2BSbO_6$  (B = Fe, V, Cr, Ga, Al) (prepared between *P* = 3−9 GPa and *T* = 700−1000

 $^{\circ}$ C).<sup>[44](#page-16-0)</sup> Among these, Mn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub> is a ferrimagnet at *T*<sub>C</sub> = 270 K<sup>[45](#page-16-0)</sup> and Zn<sub>2</sub>FeTaO<sub>6</sub> orders antiferromagnetically at  $T_N \approx 22$ K.<sup>[46](#page-16-0)</sup> While an antiferromagnetic transition in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeOsO}_6$  was only theoretically predicted,<sup>[47](#page-16-0)</sup> Mn<sub>2</sub>MnWO<sub>6</sub> does transform to an antiferromagnet at  $T = 58$  K,<sup>48</sup> and Mn<sub>2</sub>MnMoO<sub>6</sub> shows two antiferromagnetic transitions at  $T_{\text{N1}} = 47$  K and  $T_{\text{N2}} = 19$  $K<sup>21</sup>$  $K<sup>21</sup>$  $K<sup>21</sup>$ 

During our search to discover new corundum-based phases that form under HPHT conditions, we successfully synthesized the two new compounds  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  and  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$ , which are the first compounds of this type to contain  $Zn^{2+}$  cations. In this work, we have investigated the crystal structure and symmetry of cation octahedra, magnetic properties, heat capacity, SHG, and the oxidation states of Fe and Mn. Additionally, we have elucidated the essential relationships between the crystal structures, symmetries, and magnetic properties of these two new compounds.

**1.1. Problem of Twinning in NTO-like Phases and Implications for Their Crystallographic Characterization.** The single-crystal reflection data for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  (sample TT1375) and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  (sample TT1478) were found to have the P-NCS rhombohedral symmetry, which is observed for many  $Ni<sub>3</sub>TeO<sub>6</sub>$ -like (NTO) phases including  $Li<sub>2</sub>ZrTeO<sub>6</sub>$ -like (LZTO) and Li<sub>2</sub>GeTeO<sub>6</sub>-like (e.g., ordered ilmenite, OIL)<br>phases.<sup>14,15,[18,](#page-15-0)[20,25](#page-16-0),[27,30,48](#page-16-0)–[68](#page-17-0)</sup> As a broader class of materials with only minor structural differences between prototypes (as evidenced by similar powder X-ray diffraction patterns), all these types of  $A_2BB'O_6$  compounds will herein be referred to as NTO-like phases. A comparative list of crystallographic information for most of the determined structures of NTO-like phases is presented in [Table](#page-1-0) 1. [69](#page-17-0) Unlike powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) profile data, reflection data from singlecrystal data (SCD) can be used to precisely determine not only the contributions from all diffraction domains but also any multiple structural (e.g., cocrystal) components.<sup>[70](#page-17-0),[71](#page-17-0)</sup> However, to date, there are only six SCD studies of NTO-like phases, and all of them unfortunately have used relatively lowresolution Mo K*α* X-ray data. Namely, the SCD results for Li<sub>2</sub>ZrTeO<sub>6</sub> and Ni<sub>3−*x*</sub>Mn<sub>*x*</sub>TeO<sub>6</sub> have data with  $\theta_{\text{max}} < 30^{\circ}$ ,<sup>[57,62](#page-17-0)</sup> Ni3TeO6, Co3TeO6, and Mg3TeO6 have data with *θ*max <  $40^{\circ 52,58,65}$  $40^{\circ 52,58,65}$  $40^{\circ 52,58,65}$  $40^{\circ 52,58,65}$  $40^{\circ 52,58,65}$  and  $Ni<sub>2</sub>InSbO<sub>6</sub>$  has data with  $\theta_{\text{max}} = 46^{\circ},^{63}$  $\theta_{\text{max}} = 46^{\circ},^{63}$  $\theta_{\text{max}} = 46^{\circ},^{63}$  even though all diffractometers used were capable of resolutions much better than  $\theta_{\text{max}} = 70^{\circ}$ . Based upon our experience with dozens of samples, this shortcoming is often due to multiple component issues (e.g., twinning and especially multigrowth) and especially to long-range order issues (e.g., crystallinity or mosaicity). These difficulties may be the result of extreme synthesis conditions, namely, a high pressure and temperature followed by relatively quick cooling, which may be magnified due to internal stresses within the lattice resulting from incompatible sizes or electronic configurations of the cations used.<sup>[1,15](#page-15-0),[72](#page-17-0)</sup> In the SCD study of Ni<sub>2</sub>InSbO<sub>6</sub>, whose synthesis involved a long annealing time (2 weeks), large single crystals of acceptable mosaicity were obtained; nevertheless, such crystals were twinned.<sup>[63](#page-17-0)</sup> The derived model in that study did not contain any cation site mixing. Such a result, namely, all sites having a single, ordered atom type, is not the case for nearly all of the other known powder diffraction studies of NTO, LZTO, or OIL phases, where different cations were used for the A and B site (see [Table](#page-1-0) 1). For three of the six SCD studies mentioned above, an  $M_3TeO_6$  phase with only one divalent transition metal present  $(M = Co, Mg, Ni)$  for both A and B sites was used. A fifth study concerned

 $\rm Li_2ZrTeO_6$ <sup>[57](#page-17-0)</sup> which is also a phase containing all ordered cation sites and an occupation preference for the A or B site dependent upon the different ionization potentials rather than ionic radius of Li (0.76 Å) versus Zr (0.72 Å). For that SCD study, the large atomic number difference between Li  $(Z = 3)$ and  $Zr$   $(Z = 40)$  made it quite easy to obtain accurate PXRD and SCD results. The sixth SCD study mentioned above concerned a completely ordered  $Ni<sub>2</sub> InSbO<sub>6</sub> phase<sup>63</sup> with$ reflection data of high enough resolution ( $\theta_{\text{max}} = 46^{\circ}$ ) to refine anisotropic atomic displacement parameters (ADP), but these are not reported in that study. Additionally, although an absolute structure parameter from the SHELXL refinement program has been reported, none of these studies have a discussion of the problems of crystal intergrowth or the crucial role of quantifying all twinning contributions. Twinning may be due to nonmerohedral twinning (e.g., crystal intergrowth with nonoverlapping rotational components), twinning by merohedry (e.g., racemic mixing for chiral compounds), and twinning by reticular merohedry (e.g., obverse/reverse twinning). This is especially important since data collected for a sample with the last two types of twinning have an exact overlap of reflections having individually different indices and intensities, a situation that exists for all lattice points. Thus, these types of twinning can greatly complicate the solution or refinement of a crystal structure. For most of the dozens of samples of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MSbO}_6$  with M = Fe or Mn, and all other NTOtype phases that we have examined by use of the SCD diffractometer, we have found all three types of twinning to be present. For this study, we have chosen single-crystal samples without significant nonmerohedral twinning by simple examination of reciprocal lattice plots and rejecting crystals containing two or more offset lattices of reflections. Twinning by merohedry and reticular merohedry appeared to always be present in our samples and in different ratios for different single-crystal samples. Twinning of this sort may be expected based on the rate of change from HPHT to ambient conditions during the final steps of the synthesis. It is also likely that twinning can be generated in the initial nucleation and growth of the polar corundum derivatives (PCD) from oxide mixtures. Spontaneous resolution of chiral crystals was not expected, but we and others have observed very low racemic ratios[.52](#page-17-0),[57,58,62](#page-17-0),[63](#page-17-0),[65](#page-17-0) One study had found that annealing samples over periods of weeks seemed to produce less, but not zero, twinning.<sup>[30](#page-16-0)</sup> It is unknown if reticular merohedry (obverse/ reverse twinning) was ever accounted for in that study or any other single-crystal study to date.

The 3D framework of the O anions defines this class of PCDs by means of characteristic face sharing and tilting of the O6 octahedra. In contrast to the common occurrence of cation disorder, the O6 octahedra appear to be ordered for all known structures, and as a framework, they serve as the anchor for all structures of NTO-like phases. Deficiencies in O anion sites have been predicted for cubic and ilmenite perovskite-related phases $^{73}$  but not quantified in any SCD study of NTO-like compounds, including both compounds in this study. Additionally, O anion disorder is not observed or is negligible, and only  $U_{\text{iso}}$  values of 0.02  $\AA$ <sup>2</sup> or less have been found in our studies or in published single-crystal results. For determining precise positional and displacement parameters of the relatively lighter O anions in the crystal structures of NTO-like phases, the diffraction of neutrons is generally preferred to X-rays, and 13 of the 29 structure determinations summarized in [Table](#page-1-0) 1 are based upon neutron powder diffraction (NPD). For the

PXRD studies listed in [Table](#page-1-0) 1, six of them employed highresolution synchrotron X-rays, and four studies used lowerresolution data from in-house Cu K $\alpha$  X-ray sources ( $\theta_{\text{max}}$  = 60°). Nevertheless, examination of bulk materials by the PXRD method is always essential for identifying crystal symmetry and phase purity of the sample before quantitative results (e.g., Rietveld) can be reliably obtained. The real value of using SCD data for structure refinements of PCDs is the determination of accurate composition and coordination geometry of all sites, and especially mixed-atom sites. The high correlations between occupancy and anisotropic displacement can best be accommodated with high-resolution single-crystal data. Obtaining the high-resolution data is, of course, dependent on sample mosaicity, and the necessary triage to find such a sample may take numerous attempts. Unfortunately, the ADP derived from powder diffraction data is, in general, poorly determined or even ignored. Lack of complete profile shapes, usually because of the choice of slits or use of the divergent Xray sources from sealed tubes, requires the use of averaged values  $(U_{\text{iso}}$  or  $B_{\text{iso}})$  for powder samples. For the SCD experiment, only after accurate determination of the number and contribution of all domains within the sample can reliable ADP values be obtained. Thus, it is of great advantage to use single crystals of high purity and a single domain. However, the process of selecting a sample of adequate crystallinity, especially for deeply colored samples (as are most NTO-like samples), is often random and time-consuming. To date, there are no studies that examine the distribution of phase or degree of crystallinity of individual particles/grains from any type of synthesis (e.g., HT or HTHP). For PXRD and NPD studies, the crystal structure of individual particles is assumed to be the same as the average structure of a bulk region, within boundaries selected by the use of microscopic or spectroscopic methods. This assumption is often made even though one study has shown a cation site rearrangement at a high temperature. $44$ 

The framework of the O anions in NTO-like structures has been shown to be consistent and to contain well-ordered O anions in all structure determinations (e.g., this study and those in [Table](#page-1-0) 1). Thus, conclusions about cation size and cation−cation interactions forming the basis of observed octahedral distortions and resulting physical properties are generally correct. This relationship is supported by the fact that all NTO-like structures reside in space group *R*3 with similar face sharing and tilts of the O6 octahedra, especially with respect to the crystallographic *c*-axis as listed in [Table](#page-1-0) 1. This consistency in observations is also independent of the temperature and type of radiation used. For NTO-like phases, the enclosed volume of the O6 octahedra does not appear to be limiting for cations of ionic radius of 0.6 Å or less. When  $Li^+$ or  $Mg^{2+}$  atoms are used in the A sites, an OIL-like phase rather than an NTO-like phase usually forms,<sup>[25,27,](#page-16-0)[55](#page-17-0)–[58,68](#page-17-0)</sup> indicating an influence of ion potential upon the selection and location of cation sites. For all NTO-like structures, adjacent face-sharing octahedra have metal positions displaced from the symmetrical centroid and away from each other such that the shortest theoretical cation-to-cation distance of about 2.30 Å is never achieved for any A-A, A-B, A-B′, or B-B′ distance between face-sharing octahedra, as indicated by the range of values 2.75−3.5 Å for the NTO, OIL, and LZTO phases in [Table](#page-1-0) 1. Thus, the observed site selection and distortions of metal coordination geometry are likely due to short-range repulsive cation−cation interactions within adjacent face-shared octahe-

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 2. Single-Crystal Data and Refinement Details for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$



*a* Note that significance of ESD of values 0.01(2) and 0.02(2) are with respect to values in the range of 0.00 to 1.00.

dra along the crystallographic *c*-axis. The fact that short facesharing separations in NTO-like phases are not continuous, but instead, alternating with a wider separation of adjacent octahedra, allows for a wide variety of choices of cations for successful syntheses. However, when multiple types of cations are used, the appearance of "site mixing" often occurs and may be due to an overlap of at least one other component with an alternative structure.

In this study, we address the issue of whether the positions of different cations in apparent "mixed sites" are located at different positions or, as might be assumed in powder diffraction studies, are too close to each other to distinguish different positions about a single z-coordinate. Based on our single-crystal structure refinements, the small differences in positions of component cations for a given site are indeed significant. We report here, for the first time, the use of highresolution X-ray data to determine precise parameters for composition, position, and ADP values of the atoms in the crystal structures of NTO-like phases  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  and Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub>.

## **2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**2.1. Synthesis.** Polycrystalline samples with nominal composition  $Zn_2TSbO_6$  (with T = Mn, Fe) were prepared under HPHT conditions starting from high-purity commercial reagents ZnO (Aldrich, 99.9% purity),  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  (Alfa Aesar, puratronic, 99.998% metals basis),  $Mn_2O_3$  (Aldrich, 99.999% purity metals basis), and  $Sb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>$  (Alfa Aesar, puratronic, 99.998% purity metals basis). The mixture prepared for the synthesis conformed to the following reactions for the two Fe and Mn compounds, respectively:

$$
4\text{ZnO} + \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5 \rightarrow 2\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6
$$

$$
4\text{ZnO} + \text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5 \rightarrow 2\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6
$$

The reagents, weighed in a stoichiometric amount, were ground and homogenized in an agate mortar. The mixture was sealed in a Pt capsule and placed in an  $Al_2O_3$  crucible. The so-prepared samples were loaded into a Walker-type multianvil press-apparatus $75,76$  and pressurized to *P* = 7−8 GPa in 8−10 h. Once the final pressure was achieved, the samples were heated to 1250−1450 °C and the temperature was kept for 2−4 h. After the annealing time passed, they were quenched to temperatures of less than 150 °C on a time scale of 10 s by switching off the voltage supply of the resistance furnace.<sup>7</sup>

The pressure was maintained during both heating and quenching process, and only after completion of the cooling was the pressure slowly released over another 8−10 h.

**2.2. Micrographic Analysis.** Microstructure and morphology of the samples were checked by light optical microscopy (LOM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Leica Cambridge S360), in conjunction with energy-dispersive X-ray (EDS) spectroscopy (Oxford X-Max 20) for semiquantitative elemental analysis. The micrographic specimens were prepared by a standard polishing technique on representative sections of the samples. The EDS analyses were performed both on the overall area of the specimens and on single spots and small areas of small grains (0.5−1.0 *μ*m2 ). The accuracy of the measurements is within 2.0 atom % for O and 1.0 atom % for Zn, Fe, Mn, and Sb (within 1.0 atom % if O is not accounted for).

**2.3. X-ray Diffraction Analysis.** The crystal structures for the two compounds were investigated by SCD and PXRD. Small fragments (edge <50  $\mu$ m) from the HPHT syntheses of Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub> (sample TT1375) and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (sample TT1478) were examined using finely collimated Mo K $\alpha$  X-radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) at room temperature using a Rigaku XtaLAB Synergy-S diffractometer system. Reflection data to 0.36 Å resolution ( $\theta = 80^{\circ}$ ) were processed with the CrysAlisPro software.<sup>[77](#page-17-0)</sup> The X-ray data were indicative of a single lattice, with less than 1% noncoincident domains, e.g., due to nonmerohedral twinning, or multiple intergrowth crystallites. Such multidomain samples are expected to form and are indeed observed in other, discarded samples after HTHP syntheses followed by annealing periods of a few hours or less. In this report, the term "domain" will be used with respect to partition of coincident reflection data, and the term "component" will be used with respect to partition of structural models. For  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ , reduction of diffraction data by use of programs  $\widehat{SAINT}^{78,79}$  $\widehat{SAINT}^{78,79}$  $\widehat{SAINT}^{78,79}$  $\widehat{SAINT}^{78,79}$  $\widehat{SAINT}^{78,79}$  (routine XPREP) and PLATON<sup>[80](#page-17-0)</sup> (routine TwinRotMat) revealed the presence of merohedral (racemic) and reticular merohedral (obverse/reverse) twinning, which was refined in SHELXL<sup>[81](#page-17-0)</sup> by use of the TWIN and BASF instructions. Values of TWIN and BASF are included with crystallographic information for  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  (TT1375) and  $Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub>$  (TT1478) in [Table](#page-4-0) 2. The structure solution program  $SHELXT$ ,<sup>[81](#page-17-0)</sup> least-squares program  $SHELXL$ ,<sup>81</sup> and GUI program Shel $Xle^{82}$  were used for structure determination and refinement. The PXRD patterns were collected in the 2*θ* range between 15 and 120° by an X'Pert Philips diffractometer for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (sample TT1478) and a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  (sample BB1388), both employing Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.54184$  Å) and a step size of 0.02° (2*θ*). The structural refinements by Rietveld method were performed by using the FullProf software suite.  $83$  The Mercury  $^8$ program was used to visualize the structural models and create all crystallographic figures. VESTA<sup>85</sup> and TIDY<sup>[86](#page-17-0)</sup> programs were used for calculations of geometries of octahedra and to determine the standardized positions of the atoms, respectively, and  $BVCalc<sup>87</sup>$  was used for bond valence calculations. Calculated precession photographs[77](#page-17-0) of the 0*kl*, *h*0*l*, and *hk*0 zones of reflection data collected for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  up to 0.5 Å resolution are given in [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S1a−c and [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S2a−c, respectively.

Complete tables of atomic positions, displacement parameters, and coordination geometries for the crystal structures of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ (sample TT1375) and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (sample TT1478) are found in the Supporting [Information](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) , and CIF files have been deposited at CCDC with deposition numbers 2373123 and 2373124, respectively.

**2.4. X-ray Absorption Near-Edge Spectroscopy (XANES).** XANES data on  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  were collected in both the transmission and fluorescence modes with simultaneous standards on the beamline 7-BM (QAS) using a Si(111) channel cut monochromator at Brookhaven National Laboratory's National Synchrotron Light Source (NSLS-II). The data for the standard compounds were collected on NSLS-II (6-BM/7-BM) and NSLS-I (X-19A/X-18B) beamlines. All of the spectra were fit to pre and post edge backgrounds and normalized to unity absorption edge step across the edge. $13,35,72,88 13,35,72,88 13,35,72,88 13,35,72,88 13,35,72,88 13,35,72,88-$ 

**2.5. Optical Second Harmonic Generation (SHG) Measurement.** The SHG measurements were carried out in reflection geometry at room temperature on properly polished samples with a modified WITec alpha 300S confocal Raman microscope. A pulsed fundamental beam generated by a Ti:sapphire system  $(I = 800$  nm, repetition rate of 80 MHz, chopped at 1 kHz) was focused on the sample with a microscope objective. The polarization of the fundamental beam was controlled by a half-wave plate, and the SHG signal was detected with a photomultiplier tube after being passed through a polarization analyzer.

**2.6. Physical Property Measurements.** Magnetization measurements were performed with a physical property measurement system (PPMS, Quantum Design). The DC magnetization was measured in the temperature range 5−300 K for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and in the range 6− 300 K for Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub>, under applied magnetic fields, *H*, of 1 kOe for the former and 1 kOe and 10 kOe for the latter. The isothermal magnetization,  $M(H)$ , was measured in applied fields up to  $\pm$ 70 kOe and at several temperatures. Zero-field heat capacity was measured between 2 and 100 K for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and between 2 and 150 K for  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$ .

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**3.1. Phase Formation of Zn2FeSbO6 and Zn2MnSbO6.** The PCD  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  (sample TT1375) and  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$ (sample TT1478) were both prepared under  $P = 7$  GPa, at  $T =$ 1350 °C; the former was heat-treated at 1350 °C for 2.5 h, while the latter was heat-treated for 4 h. Both samples were nearly single phase and containing large crystal grains of the target phase. Their microstructure was analyzed by both light optical microscopy (LOM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The optical microscopy images are shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) [S3a,b](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) and [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S4a,d for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ , respectively. Both samples appear clean and containing mostly one phase of large and clean grains with size of ≈100 *μ*m; they are transparent and deeply colored and gem-like. Polarized light accentuates the reflective anisotropy and transparent internal reflections (reddish areas in [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S3b and [S4d\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) in both compounds. No twinning was recognized in the reflected light optics.

The SEM microphotographs using both backscattered electron (BSE) and secondary electron (SE) modes for the two samples are shown in [Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S5a−c and [S6a](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf)−c, respectively. For  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ , the global analysis (carried out on the whole specimen area) is in very good agreement with the nominal starting composition " $Zn_2FeSbO_6$ ", with and without taking into account the oxygen content. An oxygen concentration of 57−59 at % (slightly lower than 60 at %) is acceptable given that O is a light element (and for it, only semiquantitative analysis can be performed); the sample is a quaternary compound; and our EDS-microprobe has an instrumental accuracy not better than about 2 at %. The  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  sample appears to be single phase [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S5b, BSE-mode); the darker areas are related to holes, fractures, and hollows of the sample surface (better evident in SE mode shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S5c). The point and small area EDS analyses carried out on some grains [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S5b) reveal the calculated composition to be close to  $\rm Zn_{20}Fe_{10}Sb_{10}O_{60}$  or  $\rm Zn_{50}Fe_{25}Sn_{25}$  if oxygen is not accounted for (with a likely accuracy of  $\pm 2$  at %). No other extra phases appeared to be present in this sample. The same considerations apply for the  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ sample for which two specimens from sample TT1478 were analyzed. The global analysis for TT1478 measured with and without taking into account the oxygen content is in very good agreement with the nominal composition of " $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ " (within the limit of about  $\pm 2$  at %) [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S6a). The sample

<span id="page-6-0"></span>is almost single-phase  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$  ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S6c); a small amount of a pseudoternary phase with composition based upon EDS analysis of approximately  $(Zn_{0.8}Mn_{0.3})Sb_2O_6$  was detected as small, elongated light-gray grains. The presence of this phase was confirmed by PXRD analysis and identified as ordonezite-type  $ZnSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$ . For this TT1478 sample, the microphotograph image viewed in SE mode ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S6c) shows many gray grains pertaining to the target phase and dark areas pertaining to holes, fractures, or hollows. No further extra phases were observed optically in the TT1478 sample.

**3.2.** Crystal Structure of Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub> and Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub>. *3.2.1. Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction.* Crystallographic information for the SCD experiments of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  (sample TT1375) and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (sample TT1478) are given in [Table](#page-4-0) 2. The unexpected unit volume difference at room temperature for these two compounds, namely, 320.38  $\AA$ <sup>3</sup> for the relatively heavier  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  and 327.42  $\AA^3$  for relatively lighter  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ , gives a first indication that differences in the two crystal structures were likely to be found. The space groups *R*3*c* and *R-*3*c* were immediately rejected since over 8% of all observed unique reflections (over 150) were in violation of the systematic absence rule for *c*-glide. By use of the entire, unaveraged sets of reflection data to a resolution limit of 0.38 Å  $(\theta_{\text{max}} = 69.25^{\circ})$  and programs XPREP<sup>78,79</sup> and PLATON,<sup>[80](#page-17-0)</sup> it was determined that all apparently single-crystal samples examined contained merohedral twinning and belonged to the noncentrosymmetric space group *R*3. Structure solution by use of program SHELXT<sup>81</sup> for both data sets also selected the *R*3 model and indicated significant racemic twining. Nevertheless, accurate sites for the Sb cation and the two O anions were easily found as well as approximate locations of the remaining cations. Determination of final occupancies per cation site was not straightforward, and not only the occupancies but also the cation coordination geometries and especially the residual electron densities were used to verify the model that best agreed with the reflection data. For the initial structural refinement of either sample, a check of the M-O bond distances and angles easily determined which site contained all or mostly Sb since that site gave six Sb−O bond distances close to the expected 1.98 Å value.<sup>[93](#page-18-0)</sup> The Sb cation and both O anions were temporarily assigned full occupancy at this initial stage, which generally helped to determine any "mixing" of cations in all other sites based upon electron density and coordination geometry. These "mixed sites" were modeled by different two-component models for the  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  versus  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  crystals used here, as described in the following two sections. The crystal structural results obtained from different crystals selected from the synthetic batch of sample TT1375  $(Zn_2FeSbO_6)$  were essentially identical, as were different crystals from sample TT1478  $(Zn_2MnSbO_6)$ .

*3.2.2. Crystal Structure Determination of Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub>. The* unit cell contents of the single-crystal sample of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ (TT1375), which were determined by the program SHELXT, were translated in  $z$  to place the Sb cation at the  $(0\ 0\ 0)$ position. The  $Sb^{5+}$  cation site was the easiest to recognize because of its higher electron density and shortest M-O bonds. Positions and ADP for all atoms and site occupancies for the three remaining cations, initially considered as partially Zn, were then refined. The fractional *z*-coordinate for these three remaining cation sites refined at this initial point to  $z = 0.712$ , 0.496, and 0.217, and their occupancies converged to 92, 85, and 99% Zn, respectively. Next, but not finally, these cations were refined as  $Zn(1)$ , Fe(2), and  $Zn(3)$  to give occupancies 0.92, 1.07, and 0.99, thus confirming the sites to be mostly Zn, mostly Fe, and all Zn, respectively. Next, and also not finally, the placement of some Fe at the  $Zn(1)$  site and some  $Zn$  at the Fe(2) site followed by refinement of ADP for all ions gave the initial "single site" model listed in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S1. These coordinates were also used for the initial model of the Rietveld refinement (*vide infra*). Thus, the sequential arrangement of cations along the *c*-axis is mostly Zn-Fe-Zn-Sb (NTO type) in coexistence with a lesser amount of Fe-Zn-Zn-Sb (OIL type) and generally agrees with so-called "mixed sites" models for M1 and M2 in the scheme M1-M2-M3-M4 (see Figure 1) modeled from



Figure 1. Displacements from centroids of octahedra and separations between adjacent cations along the *c*-axis for all cations in the crystal structure of  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  (TT1375); values for the main (68%) component are in black and for the second (32%) component are in red. The view is approximately along the [1−1 0 0] direction (e.g., crystallographic *a-b* diagonal). Polyhedral shading: Fe in red, Sb in blue, Zn in gray. Bond distances and angles for TT1375 are in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) [S3](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf); intercation distances are in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S4.

several PXRD studies with  $M4 = Sb<sub>1</sub><sup>50</sup> Mo<sub>1</sub><sup>68</sup> Nb$  or Ta<sub>1</sub><sup>[60](#page-17-0)</sup> but apparently not Te. Examples of motifs with apparent mixing of  $\overline{M2}$  only<sup>[51](#page-16-0)</sup> and of no mixing<sup>[30,50](#page-16-0)</sup> do exist, as do examples of apparent mixing of both M1 and M3 with M4 =  $\text{Sb}^{20}$  or M4 =  $Te^{53}$  and of mixing of both M2 and M3 with M4 =  $Sb^{18}$  $Sb^{18}$  $Sb^{18}$  or M4  $=$  Te.<sup>5</sup>

Based upon the initial-stage ADP values for  $Zn(1)$  and Fe(2) in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S1, it was observed that the  $U_{33}$  values were 3 and 2 times larger than the corresponding  $U_{11}$  values, respectively. As a result, a spatial separation between Zn and Fe atoms at the  $z = 0.712$  and 0.496 sites was allowed to refine. A refinement with restrained and isotropic *U* and unrestrained *z* values for Zn(1) and Fe(1B) of site M1 gave *z* values of 0.713 and 0.708, respectively, and for  $Fe(2)$ , and  $Zn(2B)$  of

	Wyckoff site	atomic coordinates				
atom		x/a	y/b	z/c	occupancy	$U_{\text{eq}}[\text{\AA}^2]$
$\text{Zn}(1)$	3a		$\Omega$	0.7147(2)	0.678(8)	0.0091(3)
Fe(2)	3a		$\Omega$	0.4983(3)	0.678(8)	0.0045(2)
Fe(1B)	3a			0.7037(5)	0.322(8)	0.0073(3)
Zn(2B)	3a		$\Omega$	0.4870(4)	0.322(8)	0.0050(5)
$\text{Zn}(3)$	3a		$\Omega$	0.21655(3)	1.0	0.0091(1)
Sb(4)	3a			$\mathbf{0}$	1.0	0.00421(2)
O(1)	9b	0.0119(3)	0.3729(3)	0.2617(1)	1.0	0.0071(1)
O(2)	9b	0.2907(3)	0.3082(3)	0.0927(1)	1.0	0.0078(2)
	${}^aU_{\rm eq}$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $U_{\rm ii}$ tensor. The minor component cations are designated by labels containing "B".					

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Table 3. Finalized Refined Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters,  $U_{\rm eq}$ , for  $\rm Zn_2FeSbO_6^{-2}$ 

site M2 gave *z* values of 0.498 and 0.487, respectively. The Zn atoms at approximate site  $(0 \t 0 \t 0.71)$ ,  $Zn(1)$ , and at approximate site  $(0 \t 0 \t 0.22)$ ,  $Zn(3)$ , exhibited significant distortion from octahedral symmetry, as consistent with having the larger cation  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$   $(0.74 \text{ \AA}^{93})$  at those sites. Final refinement gave 68% Zn at  $z = 0.7146$ , 32% Fe at  $z =$ 0.7038, 68% Fe at *z* = 0.4982, and 32% Zn at *z* = 0.4869, respectively. The final atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  (TT1375) are given in Table 3, while the anisotropic thermal displacement parameters are collected in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S2. This result is reproducible and independent of the starting positions and atom type for each partially occupied site, thus indicating a robust electron density basis for the derived result. Selected M-O bond distances and angles for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  (TT1375) are given in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S3, and the closest M-M intercation distances are in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S4. A simple representation of the distortions from symmetrical octahedral distortion in TT1375, as shown in [Figure](#page-6-0) 1, uses the displacement of each cation M from the centroid of its MO6 octahedron. The centroid can simply be determined by the midpoint along the *c*-axis between the O1− O1−O1 and the O2−O2−O2 planes that are on either side of the cation. It is important to note that the minor components for the M1 and M2 sites, namely,  $Fe(1B)$  and  $Zn(2B)$ , have coordination geometries in-between  $Zn(1)$  and  $Fe(2)$  and toward the direction of the observed geometry for Fe(2) and  $Zn(1)$ . Thus, the minor component coordination geometries of  $Fe(1B)$  and  $Zn(2B)$  may represent transitional or hybrid geometries. Calculated bond valence sums<sup>87</sup> for  $\text{Zn}(1)^{2+}$ , Fe(2)<sup>3+</sup>, Zn(3)<sup>2+</sup>, Sb(4)<sup>5+</sup>, Fe(1B)<sup>3+</sup>, and Zn(2B)<sup>2+</sup> are +2.01, +2.74, +1.93, +4.96, +2.35, and +2.38, respectively. Also shown in [Figure](#page-6-0) 1 are the separations between adjacent cations along the *c*-axis. A depiction of the nearest-neighbor octahedra about the four cation sites in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  (TT1375) is given in Figure 2, and the coordination geometries of individual cations are depicted in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S7. Thus, for the crystal structure of  $\text{Zn}_2$ FeSbO<sub>6</sub> (TT1375), a cocrystal model with common Zn(3) and Sb(4) sites and Zn and Fe cations, respectively, in the M1 and M2 sites (the major component case) and Fe and Zn cations, respectively, in the M1 and M2 sites (the minor component case) is obtained. In terms of standard phase types, the major Zn-Fe-Zn-Sb component is NTO, and the minor Fe-Zn-Zn-Sb component is OIL. Thus, for the crystal structure of  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  (TT1375), the cation located in the so-called "anti-site" of  $(0 0 0.50)$  with respect to the Sb cation at  $(0 0 0)$ is not restricted to  $Fe^{3+}$  alone. As a result, the physical properties of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  may be hybridized between those of the NTO phase and those of the OIL phase. This model of NTO/OIL cocrystal was observed in four compounds from



Figure 2. Diagram of nearest-neighbor octahedra to the  $Zn(1)$  cation (gray, unshaded) and Fe(2) cation (red unshaded) for the main (68%) component of the crystal structure of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  (TT1375); (shaded polyhedra for Fe in red, Zn in gray, and Sb in blue). Nearestneighbor distances in TT1375 are in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S4.

three previous powder diffraction studies noted above,  $25,33,40$  $25,33,40$  $25,33,40$ but not in any previous SCD study to date.

*3.2.3. Crystal Structure Determination of Zn2MnSbO6.* For the single-crystal sample of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (TT1478), the above procedure for structure determination was followed up to the point of finding an initial M1-M2-Zn(3)-Sb(4) structural solution. However, for the next step, namely, the selection of cation types for mixed or unmixed M1 and M2 sites, the previously  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  cocrystal model of superimposed NTO and OIL components failed. Instead, the best structural model for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (TT1478) was found to be that of a cocrystal of two nearly identical OIL-like phases, namely, about 80% Mn-Zn-Zn-Sb superimposed with about 20% Sb-Zn-Zn-Mn. These two OIL phases are NOT related by crystallographic symmetry, nor by any twinning operation. From PXRD results of previous studies, 100% occupancy of the B′ cation at the M4 site is common and perhaps assumed for successful Rietveld analysis such that previous evidence of a slightly mixed M4 site is expected to be rare and has been reported for only one compound.<sup>[30](#page-16-0)</sup>

Based upon electron densities, M1 and M4 sites were found to be split, mixed with a ratio 84:16 and complementary. Namely, refinement converged at 84% for Mn(1) at *z* = 0.6999 and 84% for  $\text{Sb}(4)$  at  $z = 0.0$  (fixed as origin) and 16% for Sb(1B) at *z* = 0.6858 and 16% for Mn(4B) at *z* = −0.0144, respectively [\(Table](#page-8-0) 4). The electron densities at sites M2 and M3 were found to be consistent with Zn only and also split. Namely, for site M2, *z* = 0.4919 for Zn(2) and 0.4726 for

	Wyckoff site	atomic coordinates				
atom		x/a	y/b	z/c	occupancy	$U_{\text{eq}}[\text{\AA}^2]$
Mn(1)	3a	$\Omega$	$\Omega$	0.6999(2)	0.842(5)	0.0161(4)
$\text{Zn}(2)$	3a		$\Omega$	0.4921(2)	0.842(5)	0.0090(3)
$\text{Zn}(3)$	3a		$\Omega$	0.2129(1)	0.842(5)	0.0125(1)
Sb(4)	3a		0	0	0.842(5)	0.0071(1)
Sb(1B)	3a		$\Omega$	0.6858(2)	0.158(5)	0.0059(3)
Zn(2B)	3a		$\Omega$	0.4730(3)	0.158(5)	0.0090(3)
Zn(3B)	3a			0.1836(4)	0.158(5)	0.0125(1)
Mn(4B)	3a		$\Omega$	$-0.0142(5)$	0.158(5)	0.0071(1)
O(1)	9 <sub>b</sub>	0.0121(6)	0.3699(8)	0.2626(2)		0.0126(3)
O(2)	9b	0.3015(9)	0.2994(8)	0.0893(2)		0.0151(4)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Table 4. Finalized Refined Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters,  $U_{\rm eq}$  for  $\rm Zn_2MnSbO_6^{\;\;a}$ 

 $Zn(2B)$ , and for site M3,  $z = 0.2130$  for  $Zn(3)$  and 0.1835 for Zn(3B). The cation occupancies and splitting for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ (TT1478) are depicted in Figure 3. Although the occupancies



Figure 3. Displacements from centroids of octahedra and separations between adjacent cations along the *c*-axis for all cations in the crystal structure of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (TT1478); values for the major (84%) component are in black and for the minor (16%) component are in red. The view is approximately along the [1−1 0 0] direction (e.g., crystallographic *a-b* diagonal). Polyhedral shading: Mn in green, Sb in blue, Zn in gray. Bond distances and angles for TT1478 are in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) [S6](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf); intercation distances are in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S7.

of  $Zn(3)$  and  $Zn(3B)$  refined to a similar ratio  $(0.86:0.14)$  to those found for sites M1 and M4 (0.84:0.16), the occupancies of  $Zn(2)$  and  $Zn(2B)$  for site M2 refined to 0.66 and 0.24, respectively. Thus, it appears that the site splitting for M3 is correlated to that of its face-shared partner M4, but the site splitting for M2 is independent of that of its face-shared partner M1. Refinements using either Mn or Sb for the M2 or M3 site did not yield a significantly different result than the all-Zn model and were not consistent with the stoichiometry from EDS measurements. Since the site M2 is near  $z = 0.5$  and has a significantly different mixing than the three other sites, the possibility of a commensurate modulation of period *c*/2 (or,

2*c*\*) for the site occupancies exists, and models of such a structural modulation are being explored.

For the crystal structure of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (TT1478), anisotropic displacement parameters are collected in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) [S5](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf), the M-O bond distances and angles for all atoms are given in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S6, and M-M intercation distances are in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S7. Calculated bond valence sums<sup>[87](#page-17-0)</sup> for  $Mn(1)^{3+}$ ,  $Zn(2)^{2+}$ ,  $Zn(3)^{2+}$ , Sb $(4)^{5+}$ , Sb $(1B)^{5+}$ , Zn $(2B)^{2+}$ , Zn $(3B)^{2+}$ , and Mn- $(4B)^{3+}$  are +2.66, +1.96, +1.85, +4.95, +4.24, +2.01, +2.00, and +3.26, respectively. Cation coordination geometries for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (TT1478) are shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S8. The major and minor components of the crystal structure of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ are depicted in Figure 3 and are not related by crystallographic symmetry but rather by a 2-fold axis nearly parallel to the  $[1-1]$ 0 0] direction of the reticular merohedry axis already taken into account by use of TWIN and BASF instructions (SHELXL). Thus, the two components of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ (TT1478) are neither related by additional twinning, nor any *R*3 symmetry operation, nor by inversion. Instead, the noncrystallographic 2-fold symmetry maintains two unequal polar components of approximate ratio 80:20 and having the same two unique and well-defined O anion positions that, in combination, maintain a smaller, but nonzero, net polarity overall (e.g., based upon BASF parameters on the order of 0.2; see [Table](#page-4-0) 2). Nearest-neighbor octahedra about the four cation sites in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (TT1478) are shown in [Figure](#page-9-0) 4. It is noted here that the structures of both components of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (TT1478) are isomorphs with the minor component in the model of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  (TT1375), which is the OIL type. This difference in cocrystal model between  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  may explain the unusual relationship between their unit cell volume and formula weight and perhaps also between their differing physical properties, especially frustration of magnetization (*vide infra*). In other words, physical property differences may be due to different motifs of alternating cations along the *c*-axis for the NTO versus the OIL motifs (see [Figures](#page-6-0) 1 and 3).

*3.2.4. Rietveld Refinement of Zn2FeSbO6 and Zn2MnSbO6.* The structure was further confirmed by Rietveld refinement on the powder data for both compounds. Two representative Xray powder patterns including the Rietveld fit for the two compounds are shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S9a,b for  $\text{Zn}_2$ FeSbO<sub>6</sub> (sample GG1388) and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (TT1478). The atomic coordinates and isothermal parameters, B<sub>iso</sub>, obtained from Rietveld refinement for the two compounds are collected in [Tables](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S8 [and](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S9. For  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ , the final refined occupancy factor for Zn/Fe in the Wyckoff site 3*a* (0 0 0.5052) and the Fe/Zn ratio

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Figure 4. Projections of the packing of face-sharing octahedra in the crystal structure of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (TT1478); the views are approximately along the crystallographic *c*-axis (top panel) and (*a +b*) diagonal axis (bottom panel). The ordered ilmenite structure of  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$  (TT1478) is characterized by longer Mn<sup>3+</sup> to Sb<sup>5+</sup> distances *via* their edge-sharing octahedra in the *a-b* plane than the  $Mn^{3+}$  to  $Zn^{2+}$  distances *via* face-sharing octahedra along the *a-b* plane normal direction (*c*-axis). These distances are tabulated in [Table](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S7. In the crystal structure of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ , unlike  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ , there is only face sharing to  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  octahedra (Mn in green, Sb in blue, Zn in gray).

in the 3*a* (0 0 0.7131) sites were almost the same for both Rietveld and single-crystal analyses: 0.716(6):0.284(6) (Rietveld) vs  $0.678(8)$ : 0.322(8) (single crystal). For  $\text{Zn}_2MnSbO_6$ , the refinement was performed by using the average values of the coordinates obtained from averaging the values of the two OIL components from single-crystal analysis; the resulting Mn/Sb ratio in the 3*a* (0 0 0) and 3*a* (0 0 0.6905) sites is 0.819(2):0.181(2) (Rietveld) vs 0.792(4):0.208(4) (single crystal). Both refinements corroborate the  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  (sample GG-1388) and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (sample TT1478) stoichiometry.

While the  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  bulk sample is a single phase (or extra phases are not detectable by PXRD), two impurities are detected in the  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  bulk sample. One impurity is the  $\text{Zn}_{1-x}\text{Mn}_x\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_6$  (ordonezite), with  $x \approx 0.3$ , as determined by SEM-EDS, adopting the anti-Ta<sub>2</sub>FeO<sub>6</sub>-type structure ( $tP18$ ,  $P_1$ <sup>2</sup>/mnm, no. 136), and the other is LaCrO<sub>3</sub> (SrTiO<sub>3</sub>-type phase, *cP*5, *Pm-*3*m*, no. 221), likely a residual contaminant derived from the heater used during the HTHP synthesis and mixed into the sample on retrieval from the HPHT assembly.

*3.2.5. Discussion of Single-Crystal Structure Results.* The relative tilts of octahedra are determined solely by the O anion positions, and these positions are the least well-known when using PXRD data. Use of neutron powder diffraction (NPD) may give more reliable O anion positions but generally requires large quantities of sample so that high-resolution single-crystal data, even from in-house X-ray diffractometers, may offer an excellent alternative in seeking accurate O anion positions. We have found, in agreement with other researchers' results or assumptions, that the relative octahedral tilts determined by the positions of the O anion vary only slightly from one compound to another, regardless of type, NTO or OIL.

For all MO6 octahedra in samples  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  (TT1375) or  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (TT1478) used in this study, the SbO<sub>6</sub> octahedra were the most regular, if not nearly *m*-symmetrical (Table 5). Based upon the distortion index,<sup>85</sup> octahedra with minor distortion were found for the  $Fe^{3+}$  in the dominant (68%) component of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and for the Sb<sup>5+</sup> sites of either component of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (see Table 5). The most distorted octahedra are those of sites containing the  $Zn^{2+}$  cation in any of the Zn sites of any component of either  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  or  $Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub>$ . The consequences of severe distortions from octahedral geometry for the larger  $Zn^{2+}$  cation versus  $Fe^{3+}$  or  $Mn^{3+93}$  $Mn^{3+93}$  $Mn^{3+93}$  have been mentioned in previous reports<sup>[1](#page-15-0),[15](#page-15-0)[,72](#page-17-0)</sup> and is quantified in this study by the cation offsets from the approximate centers of their respective octahedra shown in [Figures](#page-6-0) 1 and [3.](#page-8-0)

For either of the title compounds, the two largest distortions occur at sites of the two largest octahedral volumes. Also, it appears that the octahedra with volumes of less than 10.4  $\AA$ <sup>3</sup> may prefer  $Sb^{5+}$  with little or no mixing and the octahedra with volumes of more than 12.0  $\AA^3$  may prefer  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  and no mixing (Table 5). The computed octahedral volumes show important irregularities, especially for the octahedra of mixed cation sites M2 in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and M1 in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ . For the M2 site in

Cation	z-coordinate	Average bond length $\vert$ Å $\vert$	Site octahedral volume $[A^3]$	<b>Distortion</b> index [Å]	<b>Quadratic</b> elongation	<b>Bond angle</b> variance [deg <sup>2</sup> ]
<b>TT1375</b>			(see Figure 1)			
Zn1/Fe1B	0.7147/0.7037	2.141/2.114	11.86 M1	0.073/0.037	1.073/1.042	218/135
Fe2/Zn2B	0.4983/0.4869	2.050/2.066	11.35 M2	0.015/0.058	1.009/1.027	30/83
Zn3	0.2166	2.154	12.02 M3	0.072	1.077	231
Sb4	0.0000	1.987	10.35 M4	0.013	1.007	25
<b>TT1478</b>			(see Figure 3)			
Mn1/Sb1B	0.6999/0.6858	2.074/2.054	11.32 M1	0.047/0.005	1.036/1.013	114/46
Zn2/Zn2B	0.4921/0.4729	2.121/2.160	12.44 M <sub>2</sub>	0.023/0.092	1.016/1.062	54/177
Zn3/Zn3B	0.2129/0.1836	2.173/2.118	12.52 M <sub>3</sub>	0.074/0.034	1.067/1.009	202/27
Sb4/Mn4B	$0.0000/-0.0143$	1.987/2.006	10.34 M <sub>4</sub>	0.007/0.063	1.008/1.031	27/95

Table 5. Geometric Details for the Four Unique Cation Octahedra in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  (TT1375) and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (TT1478)<sup>a</sup>

a<br>All parameters are from program VESTA.<sup>[85](#page-17-0)</sup> Cations ordered along the crystallographic *c*-axis shown in [Figure](#page-8-0) 1 or Figure 3 as M1-M2-M3-M4 have fractional coordinates (0 0 z). The volumes of face-sharing octahedra are highlighted by red and blue shading.

<span id="page-10-0"></span> $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  if not for the use of HP during synthesis, it may appear unusual that a  $Zn^{2+}$  cation would occupy a site with a volume as small as 11.35  $\AA^3$ . However, the average Zn−O bond length at that site is 2.066 Å and only 0.054 Å shorter than the expected 2.12 Å Zn−O bond length at ambient pressure $93$  and the Zn(2B) octahedron at that site has moderate distortion as exhibited by its distortion index of 0.058 Å, which is less than those of  $Zn(1)$  and  $Zn(3)$  at the M1 and M3 sites of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  with distortion indices of 0.074 and 0.071 Å, respectively. It is noted here that distortions of all octahedra in the crystal structures of  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  and  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$  are prolate (elongation) rather than oblate (flattened), even though the anisotropic displacement parameters are either prolate or slightly oblate. The complementary site mixing for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  in our model occurs between sites M1 and M2. The placement of  $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$  at the M1 site is unexpected since the  $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathfrak{Z}_+}$  cation should prefer sharing with the higher valent  $Sb^{5+}$  site at M4 rather than the  $Zn^{2+}$  site at M1. However, that is not the case here and the average Fe−O bond length at the M1 site (2.112 Å) is 0.087 Å longer than the expected 2.025 Å bond length $93$  and 0.062 Å longer than that observed for the  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  in site M2 with its octahedra volume of 11.35  $\AA^3$ , which is comparatively intermediate in size. As noted above, for both M1 and M2 sites of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ , the minor  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  components, Fe(1B) and Zn(2B), respectively, each have an average bond length that is between expected Zn−O and Fe−O values.

For face-sharing octahedra in the crystal structure of  $Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub>$ , namely, M1/M2 and M3/M4, the octahedral distortions [\(Table](#page-9-0) 5) for  $Mn(1)$  and  $Zn(2)$  (which have a face-shared M1-M2 distance of 2.917(4) Å, as shown in [Figure](#page-8-0) 3) are moderate (e.g.,  $\langle 0.05 \text{ Å} \rangle$  and similar in magnitude. In contrast, the other face-shared octahedra in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  (M3-M4, with an M3-M4 distance of 2.990 $(1)$  Å, as shown in [Figure](#page-8-0) 3) show a large distortion for  $Zn(3)$  with a distortion index of  $0.074$  Å and small distortion for  $\mathrm{Sb}(4)$  with a distortion index of 0.007 Å. For structure refinement of site M1, with the greater distortion of the Mn(1) octahedron (distortion index of 0.047 Å) versus distortion of the same-site Sb(1B) octahedron (distortion index of 0.005 Å), the corresponding ADP parameters were not constrained to be equal and refined to reasonable values based upon refined ADP values for  $Zn(2)$  and  $Sb(4)$  cations, respectively. This difference in distortions observed here for face-sharing pairs of octahedra may be related to the different modes of Zn cation splitting for sites M2 versus M3 (with or without any structural modulation) and intraface interaction energy calculations ought to shed light on this difference as well as the unexpected site mixing mode of face-sharing octahedra in  $Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub>$ .

Based upon effective ionic radius  $(ir)$ , we would expect Fe<sup>3+</sup> (high-spin Fe<sup>3+</sup> d<sup>5</sup>, ir = 0.645 Å) and Mn<sup>3+</sup> (high-spin  $Mn^{3+}$   $d^{4}$ , ir = 0.645 Å) to mix with  $Sb^{5+}$  (ir = 0.6 Å), rather than with the larger  $Zn^{2+}$  (ir = 0.74 Å) in the crystal structures of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ . Hence, why Fe<sup>3+</sup> mixes with  $Zn^{2+}$  and not with  $Sb^{5+}$  in  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  while  $Mn^{3+}$  mixes with  $Sb^{5+}$  in Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub> and not with Zn<sup>2+</sup> is likely not due to a size effect, but an electronic effect, due to differences of individual octahedral environments and interactions between neighboring octahedra for the different NTO and OIL motifs shown in [Figures](#page-6-0) 1and[3](#page-8-0). With respect to intercation distances along the *z*-axis shown in those figures, the narrow range of face-shared M-M distances for both components of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ , 2.98 to 2.99 Å, is nearly the same as the range for three of the four M-M distances in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ , 2.92 to 2.99 Å [\(Tables](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) S4 and S6). However, the 2.78(1) Å M3-M4 distance in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  involving the 16% Mn(4B) cation that shares its  $M4$  site with  $84\%$   $Sb(4)$  may not be as accurately determined because of the mismatch of smaller electron density due to 16% Mn (4e<sup>−</sup>) versus that due to 84% Sb (44e<sup>−</sup>). Such a mismatch in *Z* does not exist for any other mixed cation site in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  or  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ . The values of intercation distances for separations between the face-sharing octahedra (e.g., the rather open separations) are noticeably longer for the major component of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ , 3.92 to 4.21 Å, than for the major component of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ , 3.89 to 3.94 Å. These cation−cation separations are consistent with the larger unit cell volume for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  compared to that for  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  [\(Table](#page-4-0) 2) and likely consistent with greater repulsive forces between face-sharing octahedra in the former compound than in the latter. Such differences in intercation forces for the two title compounds are the basis of their differences in "mixing" and of their physical property differences.

As shown in [Figure](#page-7-0) 2, the 13 nearest-neighbor octahedra to M1 (with  $z \sim 0.70$ ) in Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub> (and also in Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub>, since the octahedra are formed from O anions alone) are the one face-sharing M2 octahedron; three edge-sharing octahedra to M4 sites related by rhombohedral symmetry; six cornersharing octahedra to M2 and M3 sites related by rhombohedral symmetry toward the +*c* direction; and three corner-sharing octahedra to M3 sites related by rhombohedral symmetry toward the *-c* direction. For significant adjacent site influence on distortions of the M1 site, the face-sharing site M2 should be the major contributor followed by the 3-fold related edge-sharing sites at M4' with symmetry  $1/3$ ,  $2/3$ ,  $z+2/3$  for any NTO- or OIL-like phase. The complementary (or corresponding) mixing to site M1 for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  is found in site M2 and to site M1 for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  is found in site M4. Thus, for both compounds, complementary mixing to that found in site M1 appears to be less favored at site M3 (where only  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  is found) due to less proximity. The situation for site M2 is not like that of site M1 but has relevance only for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ . For site M2, there is face-sharing to site M1 octahedra. Next closest sites to M2 are M3 sites with their edge-sharing octahedra, unmixed and only containing  $Zn^{2+}$ cations. Thus, with respect to site M2, there may be only one favorable complementary site for mixing, namely, that of the face-shared octahedron. This nonreciprocal relationship is consistent with polar compounds. Calculated cation−cation interaction energies and correlations for M1 and M2 pairings of site mixing, as in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ , versus M1 and M4 pairings as in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ , should help us understand why these compounds have different pairing motifs, and such calculations are the subject of our future research on these compounds.

**3.3. Physical Properties of Zn2MnSbO<sub>6</sub> and** Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub>. The zero-field heat capacity was measured between 2 and 100 K for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and between 2 and 150 K for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ ; the data are shown in [Figure](#page-11-0) 5a,b. Both curves follow a similar trend and reveal a broad and weak hump around ≈9 K for  $\text{Zn}_2$ FeSbO<sub>6</sub> and at ≈5.5 K for  $Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub>$ . This anomaly may be consistent with lowtemperature magnetic ordering and/or magnetic frustration

To investigate in more detail the magnetic properties for these two compounds, the temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility, *χ*(*T*), was measured between 5 and

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Figure 5. (a) Zero-field heat capacity, C<sub>P</sub>, between 2 and 100 K for  $Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub>$ ; the inset shows a magnification of the data in the range 2−30 K. (b) Zero-field heat capacity, C<sub>P</sub>, between 2 and 150 K for  $Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub>$ ; the inset shows a magnified view of the data in the range 2−30 K, displayed on both linear (blue circular symbols) and logarithmic scales (red triangular symbols) to better highlight the anomaly.

300 K and under applied magnetic field *H* = 1 kOe for  $Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub>$  (Figure 6) and between 2 and 300 K and under



Figure 6. Magnetic susceptibility, *χ*(*T*), in the range 5−300 K and under applied magnetic field  $H = 1$  kOe for  $Zn_2FeSbO<sub>6</sub>$ ; the inset shows a magnification of the data in the range 5−20 K.

applied magnetic fields  $H = 1$  and 10 kOe for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ (Figure 7a,b). The data were collected both in zero-field-



Figure 7. (a) Magnetic susceptibility, *χ*(*T*), in the range 2−300 K and under applied magnetic fields  $H = 1$  kOe (a) and 10 kOe (b) for  $Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub>$ ; the insets show a magnification of the data in the range  $2-10$  K.

cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) modes. The magnetic susceptibility for Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub> reveals a distinct peak at  $\approx$ 9 K, which is characteristic of an antiferromagnetic (AFM) transition. The Néel temperature,  $T_{\text{N}}$ , assuming that it corresponds to the peak temperature, is 9 K. Both ZFC and FC curves superimpose from room temperature down to around 20 K, after which thermomagnetic irreversibility emerges between the two data sets (inset of Figure 6). This phenomenon may be indicative of either magnetic anisotropy or the presence of spin-glass state in  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$ .<sup>[94,95](#page-18-0)</sup> For  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ , the ZFC data at  $H = 1$  kOe reveal a small hump around 4 K, suggesting a possible spin-glass-like transition with antiferromagnetic interactions. This feature is absent in the FC data at *H* = 1 kOe and is suppressed under a higher field of 10 kOe. Similar to  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$ , the  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$  compound also exhibits thermomagnetic hysteresis in both the ZFC and FC curves below approximately 10 K (inset of Figure 7a). The inverse magnetic susceptibility, 1/*χ*(*T*), as a function of temperature for  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  and  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$  is plotted in Figure [S10a,b](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf). The data vary linearly as a function of temperature in the paramagnetic region, i.e., between 200 and 300 K. The least-squares fit to the Curie−Weiss law [*χ*(*T*)  $= C/(T - \theta_{\text{CW}})$ , where *C* is the Curie constant and  $\theta_{\text{CW}}$  is the Curie−Weiss temperature] between this temperature range gives values of  $\theta_{\text{CW}}$  = −60 K for Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub> and  $\theta_{\text{CW}}$  = −79 K for Zn2MnSbO6. The negative value of the Curie−Weiss temperature corroborates the AFM ground state for  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  and the presence of AFM exchange interactions between the spins in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ . The effective magnetic moment,  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$  obtained from the Curie–Weiss fit is 5.76  $\mu_{\text{B}}$ /f.u. for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and 4.58  $\mu_B/\text{f.u.}$  (at  $H = 1 \text{ kOe}$ ) and 4.64  $\mu_B$ / f.u. (at  $H = 10$  kOe) for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ . If we consider that the theoretical value of magnetic moment for  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$ , assuming the high-spin-only contribution  $(3d^5, {}^6S_{5/2})$ , is 5.92  $\mu_B^{96}$  $\mu_B^{96}$  $\mu_B^{96}$  our experimental value is consistent with the presence of high-spin  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  cations in  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$ . This is in agreement with the XANES data (see [Section](#page-10-0) 3.3). The calculated magnetic moment for Mn<sup>3+</sup> assuming high-spin-only contribution (3*d<sup>4</sup>,*  $S = 2$ ), is 4.91  $\mu_B$ , while the value for Mn<sup>2+</sup>, assuming highspin-only contribution  $(3d^5, S = 5/2)$ , is 5.92  $\mu_B$ . The effective magnetic moment observed in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ , 4.58–4.65  $\mu_B$ , is in agreement with the expected value for  $Mn^{3+}$  cations, in agreement with the XANES data (see [Section](#page-10-0) 3.3). The fact that the values are slightly lower than the expected value may be due to crystallographic disorder.

For both compounds, the frustration parameter, *f*, was calculated to shed more light on the possible magnetic frustration of these two materials. The frustration parameter is defined by the absolute value of the ratio between the Curie− Weiss temperature and the temperature at which the magnetic order "freezes", i.e.,  $f = |\theta_{CW}|/T_N$ . For  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$ ,  $\theta_{CW}$ −60 K and  $T_N$  = 9 K, so *f* = 6.7, while for Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub>,  $\theta_{CW}$  =  $-79$  K and  $T_N = 4$  K, so  $f = 19.8$ . These values indicate moderate magnetic frustration in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and more significant magnetic frustration in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ . It has been observed that values for *f* > 5−10 indicate a strong suppression of magnetic ordering as a result of frustration, which is likely the case of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ . Moreover, for such high *f* values, the temperature range  $T_N < T < |\theta_{CW}|$  defines the spin-liquid regime.<sup>97</sup> Therefore, if we consider that our *f* values are  $\approx$ 7 and ≈20, respectively, for the two compounds, we can determine that the spin-liquid regime is in the range 9−60 K for the Fe compound and in the range 4−79 K for the Mn compound. The higher magnetic frustration observed in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ compared to  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  could be due to the higher % of the OIL-type phase present in the former compound. Specifically, 100% of the nonface-shared octahedra in the Mn compound have *c*-axis nearest-neighbor Zn−Zn and Sb−T distances of 4 Å, whereas only 32% of the face-shared octahedra in the Fe compound exhibit these Zn−Zn and Sb−T distances (compare [Figures](#page-6-0) 1 and [3](#page-8-0)). Additionally, the  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$ cation is more polarizable than  $Mn^{3+}$ , as it has one more unpaired electron compared to that of  $Mn^{3+}$ . This higher polarizability facilitates stronger AFM interactions in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ , contributing to more stable magnetic ordering. In contrast, the lower polarizability of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  plays a role in the enhanced magnetic frustration observed, where competing interactions and potential disorder prevent the system from achieving a fully ordered magnetic state.

The isothermal magnetization vs applied magnetic field, *M*(*H*), measured at various temperatures (*T* = 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 300 K for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and  $T = 2$ , 5, 150, and 300 K for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ ) is presented in Figure 8a,b for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ . At  $T = 300$  K, both compounds are in the



Figure 8. Isothermal magnetization of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  at  $T = 5$ , 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 300 K (a) and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  at  $T = 2$ , 5, 150, and 300 K (b), measured up to  $H = \pm 70$  kOe. The insets show magnified views of the data in the range  $\pm 0.8$  kOe for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and  $\pm 1.0$  kOe for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  at temperatures within the spin-liquid region.

paramagnetic state, as is clearly shown by the *M*(*H*) curves collected at room temperature. For the Mn compound, the paramagnetic behavior persists at *T* = 150 K (Figure 8b). The curves measured between 50 and 2 K for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and at 5 and 2 K for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  display a broad "S" shape with no sign of saturation at high applied magnetic fields. This trend is typical of spin-glass behavior, characterized by a nonlinear increase in magnetization as the applied magnetic field increases, indicating disordered and frustrated spin interactions. It also provides clear evidence of competing antiferromagnetic (AFM) interactions underlying the magnetic frustration in both compounds. For both compounds, the magnetization initially rises slowly at low fields followed by a more rapid increase at higher fields, without saturating due to competing interactions between spins, which prevent them from aligning even under high magnetic fields. This feature is more pronounced for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  than for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ . In  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ , the deviation from the linear paramagnetic trend is clearly evident at  $T = 5$  K, whereas for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ , such a deviation is noticeable at 50 K. Both compounds' curves are within the spin-liquid regime. For  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$ , the data at  $T =$ 5, 10, and 20 K show narrow hysteresis loops with coercive fields H<sub>C</sub> of approximately 280, 20, and 7 Oe, respectively (inset of Figure 8a). In contrast, for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ , a coercive field of about 280 Oe is observed in the data only at  $T = 2$  K (inset of Figure 8b). This further corroborates the spin-glass

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

Figure 9. (a) Fe K-edge for  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  (solid red) and various standards representative of both Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> cations (Fe<sup>2+</sup>O, LiFe<sup>2+</sup>PO<sub>4</sub>, LaSrFe<sup>3+</sup>TiO<sub>6</sub>, and SrFe<sup>4+</sup>O<sub>3−*δ*</sub>) for comparison. Note the chemical shift in the steeply rising portion of the edge between the 2+, 3+, and 4+ standard compounds. The chemical shift of the Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub> compound is consistent with a Fe<sup>3+</sup> assignment. (b) Mn K-edge of Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub> (solid red) compared to those of several standards representative of the various Mn oxidation states  $(Mn^{2+}O, Ba_2Mn^{2+}ReO_6, Sr_2Mn^{2+}ReO_6, LaMn^{3+}O_3)$ and CaMn<sup>4+</sup>O<sub>3</sub>). The decrease in spectral intensity/features in the 1-3 portion of the spectra, along with the appearance of the absolute 4-peak feature between Mn2+2Mn2+TeO6 and Mn2+2Mn3+SbO6 standards, should be noted. The further decrease in the 1−3 range and the 4-peak enhancement underscores the  $Mn^{3+}$  character of  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$ .



Figure 10. (a) Optical image of Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub> sample embedded in an epoxy matrix and polished. SHG intensity (radial axis in arbitrary units) as a function of incident polarization angle *φ* (angular axis) collected from spot (b) 1, (c) 2, and (d) 3. The *x*- and *y*-polarized SHG intensities (*Ix* <sup>2</sup>*<sup>ω</sup>* and *Iy* <sup>2</sup>*<sup>ω</sup>*) are represented by blue and red, respectively.

behavior of these two materials, as spin glasses typically show minimal or no hysteresis due to their magnetic moments being frozen in random orientations, inhibiting the formation of coherent magnetic domains. A comparison of the magnetic moment per formula unit versus the applied field for  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  and  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$  at  $T=5$  K is shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) [S11.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf) There is a clear difference in the magnetic responses of these two systems.  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  exhibits a steeper increase in magnetization with increasing field, reaching a larger magnetic moment per formula unit at high fields. This behavior indicates that the spins for the Fe compound undergo greater alignment under the applied field. In contrast,  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  shows a more gradual rise in magnetization with a significantly lower magnetic moment at comparable field strengths, reflecting stronger frustration and a greater degree of spin disorder. This difference in the slope and magnitude of the *M*(*H*) curves further corroborates that the magnetic frustration in  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$  is more pronounced than that in  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$ , preventing the spins from aligning as readily with the external field.

The electrical resistivity of both compounds is too insulating and out of the measurable range of the PPMS.

**3.4. X-ray Absorption Near-Edge Spectroscopy.** To investigate the oxidation states of Fe and Mn in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$ , the XANES Fe K-edges and Mn K-edges were measured. XANES measurements of the K-edges of 3*d* row transition metals are extremely useful to probe the transition metal valence and electronic configuration. The K near edges of 3*d* transition compounds are dominated by 1*s* to 4*p* transition peak features, which typically exhibit a chemical shift toward higher energy with increasing the valence of the 3*d* element. In Figure 9a, the Fe–K main edge for  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  is compared to the spectra for the standards containing several Fe oxidation states: Fe<sup>0</sup>, Fe<sup>2+</sup>O, LiFe<sup>2+</sup>PO<sub>4</sub>, LaSrFe<sup>3+</sup>TiO<sub>6</sub>, and  $SrFe<sup>4+</sup>O<sub>3-δ</sub>.<sup>98,99</sup>$  $SrFe<sup>4+</sup>O<sub>3-δ</sub>.<sup>98,99</sup>$  $SrFe<sup>4+</sup>O<sub>3-δ</sub>.<sup>98,99</sup>$  $SrFe<sup>4+</sup>O<sub>3-δ</sub>.<sup>98,99</sup>$  $SrFe<sup>4+</sup>O<sub>3-δ</sub>.<sup>98,99</sup>$  The main edge for  $Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub>$  is chemically shifted to higher energy than those for  $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$  standards FeO and  $LiFePO<sub>4</sub>$ . Moreover, the energies of the steeply rising portion and peak of the  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  spectrum is a Fe<sup>3+</sup> state assignment in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ . In Figure 9b, the main edge for  $Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub>$  is compared with the spectra for the standards  $Mn^{2+}O$ ,  $LaMn^{3+}O_3$ , and  $CaMn^{4+}O_3^{88,100}$  $CaMn^{4+}O_3^{88,100}$  $CaMn^{4+}O_3^{88,100}$  $CaMn^{4+}O_3^{88,100}$  $CaMn^{4+}O_3^{88,100}$  and other compounds  $Mn_2Mn^{2+}TeO_6$   $Mn_3SbO_6$ ,  $Ba_2Mn^{2+}ReO_6$ , and  $Sr<sub>2</sub>Mn<sup>2+</sup>ReO<sub>6</sub>^{20,72,101}$  $Sr<sub>2</sub>Mn<sup>2+</sup>ReO<sub>6</sub>^{20,72,101}$  $Sr<sub>2</sub>Mn<sup>2+</sup>ReO<sub>6</sub>^{20,72,101}$  $Sr<sub>2</sub>Mn<sup>2+</sup>ReO<sub>6</sub>^{20,72,101}$  $Sr<sub>2</sub>Mn<sup>2+</sup>ReO<sub>6</sub>^{20,72,101}$  $Sr<sub>2</sub>Mn<sup>2+</sup>ReO<sub>6</sub>^{20,72,101}$  As noted in the figure caption, the spectral steeply rising portion at the edge onset and the peak energy location of the  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  spectrum support a  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$ state for Mn in this compound.

**3.5. Second Harmonic Generation (SHG).** SHG measurement was utilized for the final space group assignation

<span id="page-14-0"></span>of  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$ . According to the equation  $P_i = d_{ijk}E_jE_k^{101}$  $P_i = d_{ijk}E_jE_k^{101}$  $P_i = d_{ijk}E_jE_k^{101}$ where  $P_i$  is the second-order induced polarization and  $E_i$  (and  $E_k$ ) is the electric field of the incident light, SHG measurements probe a third-rank polar tensor, *dijk*, which has nonzero components only when the crystal belongs to a NCS point group. Thus, only materials with NCS crystal structures exhibit an SHG response, through which doubled-frequency and halved-wavelength light can be detected. The *x*- and *y*polarized 400 nm SHG intensity collected from three different spots ([Figure](#page-13-0) 10a) of the  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$  sample are plotted in [Figure](#page-13-0) 10b[10](#page-13-0)d as a function of the polarization angle (*φ*) of the fundamental 800 nm light. We observed a finite SHG signal from all the spots, corroborating the NCS structure of  $Zn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub>$ , i.e., the P-NCS *R*3 space group (no. 146) in this case. SHG polar plots of polycrystalline samples are isotropic and show no  $\varphi$ -dependence, but those from the  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ sample exhibit anisotropy, indicating the single-crystalline nature of each spot. However, the piece consists of multiple domains, as seen from the variation of the polar plot shape from spot to spot [\(Figure](#page-13-0) 10b−d).

#### **4. CONCLUSIONS**

We have successfully synthesized two new transition metal polar corundum derivatives,  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  and  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$ , by a high-pressure and high-temperature technique and have characterized them by SEM/EDS, XANES, SHG, PPMS (magnetization), and bulk powder and single-crystal XRD. XANES spectroscopy revealed the oxidation states as  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  for  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  and  $Mn^{3+}$  for  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$ . The magnetic properties indicate spin-glass behavior with antiferromagnetic interactions in both compounds, with  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  exhibiting a  $T_N$  = 9 K, while  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$  showing a magnetic ordering at approximately 4 K. Both compounds show magnetic frustration, which is more than twice as strong in the Mn compound. SHG measurements confirmed the noncentrosymmetric nature of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ , with R3 space group symmetry.

The atomic coordinates, occupancies, and atomic displacement parameters of all atoms in the two crystal structures were determined by single-crystal and high-resolution (0.4 Å) X-ray data (Mo K $\alpha$ ). In Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub>, a split cation site is occupied by 68% Zn at (0 0 0.7147) and 32% Fe at (0 0 0.7037), and its face-sharing neighboring cation is also split with 68% Fe at (0 0 0.4982) and 32% Zn at (0 0 0.4869). The two remaining cation sites  $(0\ 0\ 0.2)$  and  $(0\ 0\ 0)$  were found to be fully occupied by Zn and Sb, respectively. Thus, our model for  $Zn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub>$  consists of a cocrystal of superimposed NTO and OIL components with a ratio of approximately 2:1. In  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_{6}$ , we observed a significantly different site mixing motif, where all sites are split and the (0 0 0.7) site was found to be occupied by 84% Mn and 16% Sb and the (0 0 0) site contained 16% Mn and 84% Sb. The (0 0 0.5) and (0 0 0.2) sites were found to also be split and occupied by only Zn. Thus, for our model of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ , a crystal with two nearly identical, but noncrystallographically related, OIL components in a ratio of approximately 5:1, was obtained. The approximate 2:1 and 5:1 ratio values obtained here do not appear to have any correlation to the crystallography of these crystals and may have an electronic and/or magnetic basis. The derived level of precision of the structure analyses is good despite the two models having multiple components resulting from merohedral twinning and cocrystallization. The use of anisotropic displacement parameters for all atoms enhances the precision and accuracy of the determination of the positions and

compositions of the cation sites. Based upon comparisons of octahedral volumes, distortion indices, and elongation parameters for cation sites, the most distorted cation octahedron for both  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$ , and  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$  is that for the minor  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  component in the (0 0 0.5) site. In  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$ , an unexpected site mixing of  $Fe^{3+}$  with  $Zn^{2+}$  rather than  $Fe^{3+}$ with  $Sb^{5+}$  is accompanied by significant distortions of octahedral geometries for these face-sharing minor component cations. In  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ , the expected site mixing of  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$  with  $Sb<sup>5+</sup>$  is observed between corner-sharing octahedra, rather than between closer face-sharing octahedra as in  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$ . Despite  $Fe<sup>3+</sup>$  and  $Mn<sup>3+</sup>$  having the same effective ionic radius, the sum of separations between octahedra along the *z*-axis in  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  is shorter than those in  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$ , resulting in a larger unit cell volume for the latter, despite the higher formal molecular weight of the former.

These findings not only enhance our understanding of the structural and magnetic complexities in transition metal polar corundum derivative phases but also open avenues for exploring their potential applications in multifunctional materials.

## ■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

#### $\bullet$  Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146?goto=supporting-info).

Initial-stage atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters adopted in solving the two structures; anisotropic displacement parameters for both  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  and  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$ ; bond distances and angles; nearest cation···cation distances orthogonal to the *c*-axis and sequential cation···cation distances along the *c*-axis for both compounds; atomic coordinates from Rietveld refinement and corresponding Rietveld refinement profiles and results for  $Zn_2FeSbO_6$  and Zn2MnSbO6; precession images of the 0*kl*, *h*0*l*, and *hk*0 reflection zones for both compounds; light optical microscopy and SEM images showing the microstructures of both compounds; coordination geometry of cations in  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeSbO}_6$  and  $\text{Zn}_2\text{MnSbO}_6$ ; inverse magnetic susceptibilities, including Curie−Weiss fits, for both compounds; isothermal magnetizations at *T* = 5 K for both  $\bar{Z}n_2FeSbO_6$  and  $Zn_2MnSbO_6$  ([PDF\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146/suppl_file/cm4c02146_si_001.pdf)

## ■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

## **Corresponding Author**

Martha Greenblatt − *Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, United States;* Occid.org/ [0000-0002-1806-2766](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1806-2766); Email: [greenbla@](mailto:greenbla@chem.rutgers.edu) [chem.rutgers.edu](mailto:greenbla@chem.rutgers.edu)

## **Authors**

- Alessia Provino − *Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, United States;* Present Address: Department of Material Sciences and Engineering, Alfred University, Alfred, New York 14802, United States; Orcid.org/0000-0002-7577-6642
- Thomas J. Emge − *Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey,*

<span id="page-15-0"></span>*Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, United States;* [orcid.org/](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5307-7250) [0000-0002-5307-7250](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5307-7250)

David Walker − *Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, New York 10964, United States*

Corey E. Frank − *Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, United States;* Present Address: Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, United States*;* [orcid.org/0000-0003-2638-7795](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2638-7795)

Suguru Yoshida − *Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, United States;* [orcid.org/0000-](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1016-5031) [0002-1016-5031](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1016-5031)

Venkatraman Gopalan − *Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, United States*

Mark Croft − *Department of Physics & Astronomy, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, United States*

Zheng Deng − *Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

Changqing Jin − *Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

Pietro Manfrinetti − *Department of Chemistry, University of Genoa, Genoa 16146, Italy; Institute SPIN-CNR, Genoa 16152, Italy;* [orcid.org/0000-0002-3346-5619](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3346-5619)

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.4c02146?ref=pdf)

#### **Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Authors would like to thank the National Science Foundation (NSF) for the Major Research Instrumentation (MRI) program grant CHE2117792 for purchase of the X-ray diffractometer used in this study. The XANES experiments at the Brookhaven National Synchrotron Light Source (NSLS-II) were supported under DOEBES (DE-SC0012704) and NSF Grant DMR-1809931. Authors would like to thank Dr. C. Bernini for the help in performing the SEM-EDS analyses.

## ■ **DEDICATION**

In memory of Prof. Francis J. DiSalvo.

## ■ **REFERENCES**

(1) De Teresa, J. M.; Serrate, D.; Blasco, J.; Ibarra, M. R.; Morellon, L. Impact of Cation Size on Magnetic [Properties](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.144401) of  $(A \ A')$ <sub>2</sub>FeReO<sub>6</sub> Double [Perovskites.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.144401) *Phys. Rev. B* 2004, *69* (14), No. 144401.

(2) Fisher, B.; Genossar, J.; Chashka, K. B.; Knizhnik, A.; Patlagan, L.; Reisner, G. M. Variable Range Hopping in  $A_2MnReO_6$  (A = Ca, Sr, [Ba\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2967820) *J. Appl. Phys.* 2008, *104* (3), No. 033716.

(3) Kato, H.; Okuda, T.; Okimoto, Y.; Tomioka, Y.; Oikawa, K.; Kamiyama, T.; Tokura, Y. Structural and Electronic [Properties](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.184412) of the Ordered Double [Perovskites](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.184412)  $A_2MReO_6$  (A = Sr, Ca; M = [Mg,Sc,Cr,Mn,Fe,Co,Ni,Zn\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.184412) *Phys. Rev. B* 2004, *69* (18), No. 184412. (4) Kobayashi, K.-I.; Kimura, T.; Sawada, H.; Terakura, K.; Tokura, Y. [Room-Temperature](https://doi.org/10.1038/27167) Magnetoresistance in an Oxide Material with

an Ordered [Double-Perovskite](https://doi.org/10.1038/27167) Structure. *Nature* 1998, *395* (6703), 677−680.

(5) Serrate, D.; De Teresa, J. M.; Algarabel, P. A.; Marquina, C.; Blasco, J.; Ibarra, M. R.; Galibert, J. [Magnetoelastic](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/43/436226) Coupling in Sr2(Fe1<sup>−</sup>*<sup>x</sup>* Cr*x*)ReO6 Double [Perovskites.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/43/436226) *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 2007, *19* (43), No. 436226.

(6) Sikora, M.; Kapusta, Cz; Borowiec, M.; Oates, C. J.; Prochazka, V.; Rybicki, D.; Zajac, D.; De Teresa, J. M.; Marquina, C.; Ibarra, M. R. Evidence of [Unquenched](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2234292) Re Orbital Magnetic Moment in AA′FeReO6 Double [Perovskites.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2234292) *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2006, *89* (6), No. 062509.

(7) Vasala, S.; Karppinen, M. A2B′B″O6 [Perovskites:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progsolidstchem.2014.08.001) A Review. *Prog. Solid State Chem.* 2015, *43* (1−2), 1−36.

(8) Retuerto, M.; Jiménez-Villacorta, F.; Martínez-Lope, M. J.; Huttel, Y.; Roman, E.; Fernández-Díaz, M. T.; Alonso, J. A. [Study](https://doi.org/10.1039/c004370b) of the Valence State and Electronic Structure in  $Sr<sub>2</sub>FeMO<sub>6</sub>$  (M = W, Mo, Re and Sb) Double [Perovskites.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c004370b) *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2010, *12* (41), 13616.

(9) Paul, A. K.; Jansen, M.; Yan, B.; Felser, C.; Reehuis, M.; Abdala, P. M. Synthesis, Crystal Structure, and Physical [Properties](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic400740f?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of [Sr2FeOsO6.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic400740f?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Inorg. Chem.* 2013, *52* (11), 6713−6719.

(10) Kobayashi, K.-I.; Kimura, T.; Tomioka, Y.; Sawada, H.; Terakura, K.; Tokura, Y. Intergrain Tunneling [Magnetoresistance](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.11159) in [Polycrystals](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.11159) of the Ordered Double Perovskite Sr<sub>2</sub>FeReO<sub>6</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* 1999, *59* (17), 11159−11162.

(11) King, G.; Woodward, P. M. Cation Ordering in [Perovskites.](https://doi.org/10.1039/b926757c) *J. Mater. Chem.* 2010, *20* (28), 5785.

(12) Yin, W.-J.; Weng, B.; Ge, J.; Sun, Q.; Li, Z.; Yan, Y. [Oxide](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8EE01574K) Perovskites, Double Perovskites and Derivatives for [Electrocatalysis,](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8EE01574K) [Photocatalysis,](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8EE01574K) and Photovoltaics. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2019, *12* (2), 442−462.

(13) Li, M.-R.; Walker, D.; Retuerto, M.; Sarkar, T.; Hadermann, J.; Stephens, P. W.; Croft, M.; Ignatov, A.; Grams, C. P.; Hemberger, J.; Nowik, I.; Halasyamani, P. S.; Tran, T. T.; Mukherjee, S.; Dasgupta, T. S.; Greenblatt, M. Polar and Magnetic  $Mn_2FeMO_6$  (M = Nb, Ta) with LiNbO<sub>3</sub>-Type Structure - High Pressure Synthesis. Angew. Chem. *Int. Ed* 2013, *52*, 8406−8410.

(14) Li, M.; Croft, M.; Stephens, P. W.; Ye, M.; Vanderbilt, D.; Retuerto, M.; Deng, Z.; Grams, C. P.; Hemberger, J.; Hadermann, J.; Li, W.; Jin, C.; Saouma, F. O.; Jang, J. I.; Akamatsu, H.; Gopalan, V.; Walker, D.; Greenblatt, M.  $Mn_2FeWO_6$ : A New Ni<sub>3</sub>TeO<sub>6</sub> -Type Polar and [Magnetic](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201405244) Oxide. *Adv. Mater.* 2015, *27* (13), 2177−2181.

(15) Li, M.; Retuerto, M.; Stephens, P. W.; Croft, M.; Sheptyakov, D.; Pomjakushin, V.; Deng, Z.; Akamatsu, H.; Gopalan, V.; Sánchez-Benítez, J.; Saouma, F. O.; Jang, J. I.; Walker, D.; Greenblatt, M. [Low-](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201511360)Temperature Cationic [Rearrangement](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201511360) in a Bulk Metal Oxide. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2016, *55* (34), 9862−9867.

(16) Kim, S. W.; Deng, Z.; Fischer, Z.; Lapidus, S. H.; Stephens, P. W.; Li, M.-R.; Greenblatt, M. Structure and [Magnetic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.6b01472?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Behavior of Layered Honeycomb Tellurates,  $\text{BiM(III)TeO}_6$  (M = Cr, Mn, Fe). *Inorg. Chem.* 2016, *55* (20), 10229−10237.

(17) Frank, C. E.; McCabe, E. E.; Orlandi, F.; Manuel, P.; Tan, X.; Deng, Z.; Croft, M.; Cascos, V.; Emge, T.; Feng, H. L.; Lapidus, S.; Jin, C.; Wu, M.; Li, M. R.; Ehrlich, S.; Khalid, S.; Quackenbush, N.; Yu, S.; Walker, D.; Greenblatt, M. Mn<sub>2</sub>CoReO<sub>6</sub>: A Robust Multisublattice [Antiferromagnetic](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9CC00038K) Perovskite with Small A-Site [Cations.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9CC00038K) *Chem. Commun.* 2019, *55* (23), 3331−3334.

(18) Feng, H. L.; Deng, Z.; Croft, M.; Lapidus, S. H.; Zu, R.; Gopalan, V.; Grams, C. P.; Hemberger, J.; Liu, S.; Tyson, T. A.; Frank, C. E.; Jin, C.; Walker, D.; Greenblatt, M. [High-Pressure](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.9b02468?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Synthesis and [Ferrimagnetism](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.9b02468?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Ni<sub>3</sub> TeO<sub>6</sub>-Type  $Mn_2ScMO_6$  (M = Nb, [Ta\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.9b02468?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Inorg. Chem.* 2019, *58* (23), 15953−15961.

(19) Han, Y.; Zeng, Y.; Hendrickx, M.; Hadermann, J.; Stephens, P. W.; Zhu, C.; Grams, C. P.; Hemberger, J.; Frank, C.; Li, S.; Wu, M.; Retuerto, M.; Croft, M.; Walker, D.; Yao, D.-X.; Greenblatt, M.; Li, M.-R. [Universal](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c01814?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) A-Cation Splitting in LiNbO<sub>3</sub> -Type Structure Driven by [Intrapositional](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c01814?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Multivalent Coupling. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2020, *142* (15), 7168−7178.

<span id="page-16-0"></span>(20) Feng, H. L.; Kang, C.-J.; Kim, B.; Kim, K.; Croft, M.; Liu, S.; Tyson, T. A.; Stavitski, E.; Zu, R.; Gopalan, V.; Lapidus, S. H.; Frank, C. E.; Shi, Y.; Walker, D.; Greenblatt, M. A Polar [Magnetic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.1c02046?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Insulating Double Corundum Oxide: Mn<sub>2</sub>MnSbO<sub>6</sub> with Ordered Mn(II) and [Mn\(III\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.1c02046?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Ions. *Chem. Mater.* 2021, *33* (16), 6522−6529. (21) Zhao, S.; Yang, J.-J.; Han, Y.-F.; Wu, M.-X.; Croft, M.; Stephens, P. W.; Walker, D.; Greenblatt, M.; Li, M.-R. [High-Pressure](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.1c04357?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Synthesis of Polar and [Antiferromagnetic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.1c04357?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Mn<sub>2</sub>MnMoO<sub>6</sub>. *Chem. Mater.* 2022, *34* (4), 1930−1936.

(22) Frank, C. E.; McCabe, E. E.; Orlandi, F.; Manuel, P.; Tan, X.; Deng, Z.; Jin, C.; Croft, M.; Emge, T.; Yu, S.; Wang, H.; Gopalan, V.; Lapidus, S.; Wu, M.; Li, M.-R.; Gross, J.; Burger, P.; Mielewczyk-Gryn, ́ A.; Klimczuk, T.; Xie, W.; Walker, D.; Greenblatt, M. Fe<sub>3−*x*</sub>InSn<sub>*x*</sub>O<sub>6</sub> ( $x = 0$ , 0.25, or 0.5): A Family of [Corundum](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c00312?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Derivatives with Sn-Induced [Polarization](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c00312?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Above Room Temperature [Antiferromagnetic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c00312?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Ordering. *Chem. Mater.* 2022, *34* (11), 5020−5029.

(23) Mathieu, R.; Ivanov, S. A.; Bazuev, G. V.; Hudl, M.; Lazor, P.; Solovyev, I. V.; Nordblad, P. [Magnetic](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3593194) Order near 270 K in Mineral and Synthetic Mn<sub>2</sub>FeSbO<sub>6</sub> Ilmenite. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2011**, 98 (20), No. 202505.

(24) Goldschmidt, V. M. Die Gesetze der [Krystallochemie.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01507527) *Naturwissenschaften* 1926, *14* (21), 477−485.

(25) Woodward, P. M.; Sleight, A. W.; Du, L.-S.; Grey, C. P. Structural Studies and Order−Disorder [Phenomenon](https://doi.org/10.1006/jssc.1999.8183) in a Series of New Quaternary Tellurates of the Type  $A^{2+}M^{4+}Te^{6+}O_6$  and [A1+2M4+Te6+O6.](https://doi.org/10.1006/jssc.1999.8183) *J. Solid State Chem.* 1999, *147* (1), 99−116.

(26) Hoel, C. A.; Amores, J. M. G.; Morán, E.; Á lario-Franco, M. A.; Gaillard, J.-F.; Poeppelmeier, K. R. [High-Pressure](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja106048x?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Synthesis and Local Structure of [Corundum-Type](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja106048x?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)  $In_{2-2*x*}Zn<sub>x</sub>Sn<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  ( $x ≤ 0.7$ ). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132* (46), 16479−16487.

(27) Choisnet, J.; Rulmont, A.; Tarte, P. Les [tellurates](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4596(88)90309-X) mixtes Li<sub>2</sub>ZrTeO<sub>6</sub> et Li<sub>2</sub>HfTeO<sub>6</sub>: un nouveau phénomène d'ordre dans la famille [corindon.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4596(88)90309-X) *J. Solid State Chem.* 1988, *75* (1), 124−135.

(28) Li, M.; Retuerto, M.; Walker, D.; Sarkar, T.; Stephens, P. W.; Mukherjee, S.; Dasgupta, T. S.; Hodges, J. P.; Croft, M.; Grams, C. P.; Hemberger, J.; Sánchez-Benítez, J.; Huq, A.; Saouma, F. O.; Jang, J. I.; Greenblatt, M. [Magnetic-Structure-Stabilized](https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.201406180) Polarization in an [Above-Room-Temperature](https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.201406180) Ferrimagnet. *Angew. Chem.* 2014, *126* (40), 10950−10954.

(29) Oh, Y. S.; Artyukhin, S.; Yang, J. J.; Zapf, V.; Kim, J. W.; Vanderbilt, D.; Cheong, S.-W. [Non-Hysteretic](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4201) Colossal Magnetoelectricity in a Collinear [Antiferromagnet.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4201) *Nat. Commun.* 2014, *5* (1), 3201.

(30) Ivanov, S. A.; Mathieu, R.; Nordblad, P.; Tellgren, R.; Ritter, C.; Politova, E.; Kaleva, G.; Mosunov, A.; Stefanovich, S.; Weil, M. Spin and Dipole Ordering in  $Ni<sub>2</sub>InSbO<sub>6</sub>$  and  $Ni<sub>2</sub>ScSbO<sub>6</sub>$  with [Corundum-Related](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm304095s?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Structure. *Chem. Mater.* 2013, *25* (6), 935−945. (31) Arévalo-López, A. M.; McNally, G. M.; Attfield, J. P. [Large](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201506540) Magnetization and Frustration Switching of [Magnetoresistance](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201506540) in the [Double-Perovskite](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201506540) Ferrimagnet Mn2FeReO6. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2015, *54* (41), 12074−12077.

(32) Kato, H.; Okuda, T.; Okimoto, Y.; Tomioka, Y.; Takenoya, Y.; Ohkubo, A.; Kawasaki, M.; Tokura, Y. Metallic [Ordered](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1493646) Double-Perovskite  $Sr_2CrReO_6$  with Maximal Curie [Temperature](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1493646) of 635 K. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2002, *81* (2), 328−330.

(33) Kim, T. H.; Uehara, M.; Cheong, S.-W.; Lee, S. Large [Room-](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.123672)Temperature Intergrain [Magnetoresistance](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.123672) in Double Perovskite SrFe1−x(Mo or [Re\)xO3.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.123672) *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 1999, *74* (12), 1737−1739. (34) Retuerto, M.; Li, M.-R.; Stephens, P. W.; Sánchez-Benítez, J.; Deng, X.; Kotliar, G.; Croft, M. C.; Ignatov, A.; Walker, D.; Greenblatt, M. [Half-Metallicity](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.5b01442?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in  $Pb_2CoReO_6$  Double Perovskite and High Magnetic Ordering [Temperature](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.5b01442?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in  $Pb_2CrReO_6$  Perovskite. *Chem. Mater.* 2015, *27* (12), 4450−4458.

(35) Li, M.; Retuerto, M.; Deng, Z.; Stephens, P. W.; Croft, M.; Huang, Q.; Wu, H.; Deng, X.; Kotliar, G.; Sánchez-Benítez, J.; Hadermann, J.; Walker, D.; Greenblatt, M. Giant [Magnetoresistance](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201506456) in the Half-Metallic [Double-Perovskite](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201506456) Ferrimagnet  $Mn_2FeReO_6$ . *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2015, *54* (41), 12069−12073.

(36) Li, M.-R.; Hodges, J. P.; Retuerto, M.; Deng, Z.; Stephens, P. W.; Croft, M. C.; Deng, X.; Kotliar, G.; Sánchez-Benítez, J.; Walker, D.; Greenblatt, M. Mn<sub>2</sub>MnReO<sub>6</sub>: Synthesis and Magnetic Structure Determination of a New [Transition-Metal-Only](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.6b00755?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Double Perovskite Canted [Antiferromagnet.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.6b00755?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Mater.* 2016, *28* (9), 3148−3158.

(37) Krockenberger, Y.; Mogare, K.; Reehuis, M.; Tovar, M.; Jansen, M.; Vaitheeswaran, G.; Kanchana, V.; Bultmark, F.; Delin, A.; Wilhelm, F.; Rogalev, A.; Winkler, A.; Alff, L.  $Sr_2CrOsO<sub>6</sub>$ : End Point of a Spin-Polarized [Metal-Insulator](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.020404) Transition by 5 d Band Filling. *Phys. Rev. B* 2007, *75* (2), No. 020404.

(38) Samanta, K.; Sanyal, P.; Saha-Dasgupta, T. [Half-Metallic](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15010) Behavior in Doped  $Sr_2CrOsO_6$  Double Perovskite with High Transition [Temperature.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15010) *Sci. Rep* 2015, *5* (1), 15010.

(39) Feng, H. L.; Arai, M.; Matsushita, Y.; Tsujimoto, Y.; Guo, Y.; Sathish, C. I.; Wang, X.; Yuan, Y.-H.; Tanaka, M.; Yamaura, K. [High-](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja411713q?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)Temperature [Ferrimagnetism](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja411713q?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Driven by Lattice Distortion in Double Perovskite [Ca2FeOsO6.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja411713q?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, *136* (9), 3326−3329.

(40) Feng, H. L.; Calder, S.; Ghimire, M. P.; Yuan, Y.-H.; Shirako, Y.; Tsujimoto, Y.; Matsushita, Y.; Hu, Z.; Kuo, C.-Y.; Tjeng, L. H.; Pi, T.-W.; Soo, Y.-L.; He, J.; Tanaka, M.; Katsuya, Y.; Richter, M.; Yamaura, K. Ba<sub>2</sub>NiOsO<sub>6</sub>: A Dirac-Mott Insulator with [Ferromagnet](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.235158)ism [near](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.235158) 100 K. *Phys. Rev. B* 2016, *94* (23), No. 235158.

(41) Feng, H. L.; Adler, P.; Reehuis, M.; Schnelle, W.; Pattison, P.; Hoser, A.; Felser, C.; Jansen, M. [High-Temperature](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.6b04983?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Ferrimagnetism with Large [Coercivity](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.6b04983?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Exchange Bias in the Partially Ordered 3*d*/ 5*d* Hexagonal Perovskite Ba<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>1.12</sub>Os<sub>0.88</sub>O<sub>6</sub>. *Chem. Mater.* 2017, 29 (2), 886−895.

(42) Goodenough, J. B. Perspective on [Engineering](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm402063u?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Transition-Metal [Oxides.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm402063u?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Mater.* 2014, *26* (1), 820−829.

(43) Li, M.-R.; Stephens, P. W.; Croft, M.; Deng, Z.; Li, W.; Jin, C.; Retuerto, M.; Hodges, J. P.; Frank, C. E.; Wu, M.; Walker, D.; Greenblatt, M.  $Mn_2(Fe_{0.8}Mo_{0.2})MoO<sub>6</sub>:$  A Double Perovskite with Multiple [Transition](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.8b00250?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Metal Sublattice Magnetic Effects. *Chem. Mater.* 2018, *30* (14), 4508−4514.

(44) Bazuev, G. V.; Golovkin, B. G.; Lukin, N. V.; Kadyrova, N. I.; Zainulin, Yu. G. High Pressure Synthesis and [Polymorphism](https://doi.org/10.1006/jssc.1996.0246) of Complex Oxides  $Mn_2BSbO_6(B=Fe, V, Cr, Ga, Al)$ . *J. Solid State Chem.* 1996, *124* (2), 333−337.

(45) Mathieu, R.; Ivanov, S. A.; Solovyev, I. V.; Bazuev, G. V.; Anil Kumar, P.; Lazor, P.; Nordblad, P.  $Mn_2FeSbO_6$ : A [Ferrimagnetic](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.014408) Ilmenite and an [Antiferromagnetic](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.014408) Perovskite. *Phys. Rev. B* 2013, *87* (1), No. 014408.

(46) Li, M.-R.; Stephens, P. W.; Retuerto, M.; Sarkar, T.; Grams, C. P.; Hemberger, J.; Croft, M. C.; Walker, D.; Greenblatt, M. [Designing](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja502774v?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Polar and Magnetic Oxides: Zn<sub>2</sub>FeTaO<sub>6</sub> - in Search of [Multiferroics.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja502774v?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J*. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, *136* (24), 8508−8511.

(47) Wang, P. S.; Ren, W.; Bellaiche, L.; Xiang, H. J. [Predicting](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.147204) a Ferrimagnetic Phase of  $\text{Zn}_2\text{FeOsO}_6$  with Strong [Magnetoelectric](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.147204) [Coupling.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.147204) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2015, *114* (14), No. 147204.

(48) Li, M.-R.; McCabe, E. E.; Stephens, P. W.; Croft, M.; Collins, L.; Kalinin, S. V.; Deng, Z.; Retuerto, M.; Sen Gupta, A.; Padmanabhan, H.; Gopalan, V.; Grams, C. P.; Hemberger, J.; Orlandi, F.; Manuel, P.; Li, W.-M.; Jin, C.-Q.; Walker, D.; Greenblatt, M. [Magnetostriction-Polarization](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02003-3) Coupling in Multiferroic [Mn2MnWO6.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02003-3) *Nat. Commun.* 2017, *8* (1), 2037.

(49) Ji, K.; Solana-Madruga, E.; Arevalo-Lopez, A. M.; Manuel, P.; Ritter, C.; Senyshyn, A.; Attfield, J. P. Lock-in Spin [Structures](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CC07556E) and [Ferrimagnetism](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CC07556E) in Polar Ni2−xCoxScSbO6 Oxides. *Chem. Commun.* 2018, *54* (88), 12523−12526.

(50) Solana-Madruga, E.; Aguilar-Maldonado, C.; Ritter, C.; Huvé, M.; Mentré, O.; Attfield, J. P.; Arévalo-López, Á . M. [Complex](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CC07487J) Magnetism in Ni  $_3$  TeO  $_6$  -Type Co  $_3$  TeO  $_6$  and [High-Pressure](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CC07487J) [Polymorphs](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CC07487J) of Mn<sub>3−x</sub>Co<sub>x</sub>TeO<sub>6</sub> Solid Solutions. *Chem. Commun.* 2021, *57* (20), 2511−2514.

(51) Skiadopoulou, S.; Retuerto, M.; Borodavka, F.; Kadlec, C.; Kadlec, F.; Míšek, M.; Prokleška, J.; Deng, Z.; Tan, X.; Frank, C.; Alonso, J. A.; Fernandez-Diaz, M. T.; Croft, M.; Orlandi, F.; Manuel, P.; McCabe, E.; Legut, D.; Greenblatt, M.; Kamba, S. [Structural,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.014429) Magnetic, and Spin [Dynamical](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.014429) Properties of the Polar Antiferro<span id="page-17-0"></span>magnets  $\text{Ni}_{3-x}\text{Co}_x\text{TeO}_6$  (x = 1, 2). *Phys. Rev. B* 2020, 101 (1), No. 014429.

(52) Selb, E.; Buttlar, T.; Janka, O.; Tribus, M.; Ebbinghaus, S. G.; Heymann, G. Multianvil [High-Pressure/High-Temperature](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0TC05210H) Synthesis and [Characterization](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0TC05210H) of Magnetoelectric HP-Co <sub>3</sub>TeO<sub>6</sub>. *J. Mater. Chem. C* 2021, *9* (16), 5486−5496.

(53) De, C.; Arévalo-López, Á . M.; Orlandi, F.; Manuel, P.; Attfield, J. P.; Sundaresan, A. Isovalent Cation [Ordering](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201810122) in the Polar [Rhombohedral](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201810122) Perovskite Bi2FeAlO6. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2018, *57* (49), 16099−16103.

(54) Swaffer, M.; Slater, P. R.; Gover, R. K. B.; Matsumura, T.; Kanno, R.; Kamiyama, T. La<sub>2</sub>MgGeO<sub>6</sub>: A Novel Ge Based Perovskite [Synthesised](https://doi.org/10.1039/b205274c) under Ambient Pressure. *Chem. Commun.* 2002, *16*, 1776−1777.

(55) Archaimbault, F.; Choisnet, J.; Rulmont, A.; Tarte, P. [Lithium](https://doi.org/10.1016/0254-0584(92)90232-W) Defect and Ordering [Phenomena](https://doi.org/10.1016/0254-0584(92)90232-W) in the New  $\rm LiNbO_3$  like Mixed Tellurates: Li2−x(Zr[4+,Nb5+,Te6+\)2O6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0254-0584(92)90232-W) (X⩽0.5). *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 1992, *30* (4), 245−252.

(56) Zhao, M.-H.; Wang, W.; Han, Y.; Xu, X.; Sheng, Z.; Wang, Y.; Wu, M.; Grams, C. P.; Hemberger, J.; Walker, D.; Greenblatt, M.; Li, M.-R. Reversible Structural [Transformation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.8b03114?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) between Polar Polymorphs of Li<sub>2</sub>GeTeO<sub>6</sub>. *Inorg. Chem.* **2019**, 58 (2), 1599-1606.

(57) Lu, W.; Gao, Z.; Liu, X.; Tian, X.; Wu, Q.; Li, C.; Sun, Y.; Liu, Y.; Tao, X. Rational Design of a LiNbO<sub>3</sub> -like [Nonlinear](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b08803?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Optical Crystal, Li  $_2$  ZrTeO  $_6$ , with High [Laser-Damage](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b08803?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Threshold and Wide Mid-IR [Transparency](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b08803?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Window. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140* (40), 13089−13096.

(58) Selb, E.; Declara, L.; Bayarjargal, L.; Podewitz, M.; Tribus, M.; Heymann, G. Crystal Structure and Properties of a [UV-Transparent](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201900998) [High-Pressure](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201900998) Polymorph of  $Mg_3TeO_6$  with Second Harmonic [Generation](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201900998) Response. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2019, *2019* (43), 4668− 4676.

(59) Arévalo-López, Á . M.; Solana-Madruga, E.; Arévalo-López, E. P.; Khalyavin, D.; Kepa, M.; Dos santos-García, A. J.; Sáez-Puche, R.; Attfield, J. P. Evolving Spin [Periodicity](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.214403) and Lock-in Transition in the Frustrated Ordered [Ilmenite-Type](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.214403)  $\beta$  – Mn<sub>2</sub>InSbO<sub>6</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B* 2018, *98* (21), No. 214403.

(60) Solana-Madruga, E.; Dos Santos-García, A. J.; Arévalo-López, A. M.; Á vila-Brande, D.; Ritter, C.; Attfield, J. P.; Sáez-Puche, R. [High](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5DT03445K) Pressure Synthesis of Polar and Non-Polar [Cation-Ordered](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5DT03445K) Polymorphs of Mn<sub>2</sub>ScSbO<sub>6</sub>. *Dalton Trans.* **2015**, 44 (47), 20441− 20448.

(61) Bhim, A.; Gopalakrishnan, J.; Natarajan, S. [Exploring](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201800102) the Corundum Structure as a Host for Colored [Compounds](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201800102) − Synthesis, [Structures,](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201800102) and Optical Studies of  $(MM')_3TeO_6$  (M = Mg, Mn, Co, Ni, Zn; M′ = Mg, Mn, Co, Ni, [Cu\).](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201800102) *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2018, *2018* (20−21), 2277−2284.

(62) Kim, J.; Yang, J.; Won, C. J.; Kim, K.; Kim, B.; Obeysekera, D.; Lee, D. W.; Cheong, S.-W. Helical versus Collinear [Antiferromagnetic](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.094405) Order Tuned by Magnetic [Anisotropy](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.094405) in Polar and Chiral [\(Ni,Mn\)3TeO6.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.094405) *Phys. Rev. Materials* 2021, *5* (9), No. 094405.

(63) Weil, M.; Mathieu, R.; Nordblad, P.; Ivanov, S. A. [Crystal](https://doi.org/10.1002/crat.201300337) Growth [Experiments](https://doi.org/10.1002/crat.201300337) in the Systems  $Ni<sub>2</sub>MSbO<sub>6</sub>$  (*M* = Sc, In) Using Chemical Vapour Transport Reactions:  $Ni<sub>2</sub> InSbO<sub>6</sub>$  and  $NiSb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$ Crystals in the [Millimetre](https://doi.org/10.1002/crat.201300337) Range. *Crystal Research and Technology* 2014, *49* (2−3), 142−151.

(64) Retuerto, M.; Skiadopoulou, S.; Borodavka, F.; Kadlec, C.; Kadlec, F.; Prokleška, J.; Deng, Z.; Alonso, J. A.; Fernandez-Diaz, M. T.; Saouma, F. O.; Jang, J. I.; Legut, D.; Kamba, S.; Greenblatt, M. Structural and Spectroscopic Properties of the Polar [Antiferromagnet](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.144418) [Ni2MnTeO6.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.144418) *Phys. Rev. B* 2018, *97* (14), No. 144418.

(65) Becker, R.; Berger, H. [Reinvestigation](https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536806043042) of Ni<sub>3</sub>TeO<sub>6</sub>. Acta *Crystallogr. E Struct Rep. Online* 2006, *62* (11), i222−i223.

(66) Newnham, R. E.; Meagher, E. P. Crystal Structure of  $Ni<sub>3</sub>TeO<sub>6</sub>$ . *Mater. Res. Bull.* 1967, *2* (5), 549−554.

(67) Panneer Muthuselvam, I.; Saranya, K.; Sankar, R.; Bhowmik, R. N.; Kavitha, L. [Experimental](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0020807) Study of Multiple Magnetic Transitions in Micrometer and Nano-Grain Sized Ni<sub>3</sub>TeO<sub>6</sub>-Type Oxide. *J. Appl. Phys.* 2020, *128* (12), No. 123902.

(68) Zhou, X.; Yang, J.; Zhu, C.; Han, Y.; Sun, Z.; Huang, X.; Cao, L.; Wang, J.; Fang, Y.; Wang, K.; Zou, B.; Li, M.-R. Robust [Yellow-](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.1c01568?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)Violet Pigments Tuned by Site-Selective Manganese [Chromophores.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.1c01568?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Inorg. Chem.* 2021, *60* (15), 11579−11590.

(69) *Pearson's Crystal Data: Crystal Structure Database for Inorganic Compounds (on DVD)*, Release 2023/24, ASM International: Materials Park, Ohio, USA.

(70) Herbst-Irmer, R.; Sheldrick, G. M. [Refinement](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108768197018454) of Twinned [Structures](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108768197018454) with *SHELXL* 97. *Acta Crystallogr. B Struct Sci.* 1998, *54* (4), 443−449.

(71) Herbst-Irmer, R.; Sheldrick, G. M. [Refinement](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108768102001039) of Obverse/ [Reverse](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108768102001039) Twins. *Acta Crystallogr. B Struct Sci.* 2002, *58* (3), 477−481.

(72) Popov, G.; Greenblatt, M.; Croft, M. Large [Effects](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.67.024406) of *A* -Site Average Cation Size on the Properties of the Double [Perovskites](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.67.024406) Ba2−[xSrxMnReO6:](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.67.024406) A d5−d1 System. *Phys. Rev. B* 2003, *67* (2), No. 024406.

(73) Bera, S.; Saha, A.; Mondal, S.; Biswas, A.; Mallick, S.; Chatterjee, R.; Roy, S. Review of Defect [Engineering](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2MA00194B) in Perovskites for [Photovoltaic](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2MA00194B) Application. *Mater. Adv.* 2022, *3* (13), 5234−5247.

(74) Li, M.; Retuerto, M.; Stephens, P. W.; Croft, M.; Sheptyakov, D.; Pomjakushin, V.; Deng, Z.; Akamatsu, H.; Gopalan, V.; Sánchez-Benítez, J.; Saouma, F. O.; Jang, J. I.; Walker, D.; Greenblatt, M. [Low-](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201511360)Temperature Cationic [Rearrangement](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201511360) in a Bulk Metal Oxide. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2016, *55* (34), 9862−9867.

(75) Walker, D.; Li, J. Castable Solid Pressure Media for [Multianvil](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5129534) [Devices.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5129534) *Matter and Radiation at Extremes* 2020, *5* (1), No. 018402. (76) Walker, D.; Carpenter, M. A.; Hitch, C. M. Some

Simplifications to Multianvil Devices for High-Pressure Experiments. *Am. Mineral.* 1990, *75*, 1020−1028.

(77) Rigaku Oxford Diffraction *CrysAlisPro Software System*, Version 1.171.43.105a, Rigaku Corporation: Wroclaw, Poland, 2019.

(78) *SAINT, APEX4 (2021)*; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

(79) Sheldrick, G. M. *Bruker SHELXTL Version 5.1*; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, Wisconsin, USA 53719, 1997.

(80) Spek, A. L. Structure Validation in Chemical [Crystallography.](https://doi.org/10.1107/S090744490804362X) *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 2009, *65* (2), 148−155.

(81) Sheldrick, G. M. Crystal Structure [Refinement](https://doi.org/10.1107/S2053229614024218) with *SHELXL*. *Acta Crystallogr. C Struct Chem.* 2015, *71* (1), 3−8.

(82) Hübschle, C. B.; Sheldrick, G. M.; Dittrich, B. *[ShelXle](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889811043202)*: A Qt [Graphical](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889811043202) User Interface for *SHELXL*. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 2011, *44* (6), 1281−1284.

(83) Rodríguez-Carvajal, J. Recent [Advances](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4526(93)90108-I) in Magnetic Structure [Determination](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4526(93)90108-I) by Neutron Powder Diffraction. *Physica B: Condensed Matter* 1993, *192* (1−2), 55−69.

(84) Macrae, C. F.; Sovago, I.; Cottrell, S. J.; Galek, P. T. A.; McCabe, P.; Pidcock, E.; Platings, M.; Shields, G. P.; Stevens, J. S.; Towler, M.; Wood, P. A. *Mercury 4.0*: From [Visualization](https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600576719014092) to Analysis, Design and [Prediction.](https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600576719014092) *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 2020, *53* (1), 226−235.

(85) Momma, K.; Izumi, F. *VESTA 3* for [Three-Dimensional](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889811038970) [Visualization](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889811038970) of Crystal, Volumetric and Morphology Data. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 2011, *44* (6), 1272−1276.

(86) Gelato, L. M.; Parthé, E. *[STRUCTURE](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889887086965) TIDY* − a Computer Program to [Standardize](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889887086965) Crystal Structure Data. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 1987, *20* (2), 139−143.

(87) Brown, I. D. Recent [Developments](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr900053k?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in the Methods and [Applications](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr900053k?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of the Bond Valence Model. *Chem. Rev.* 2009, *109* (12), 6858−6919.

(88) Croft, M.; Sills, D.; Greenblatt, M.; Lee, C.; Cheong, S.-W.; Ramanujachary, K. V.; Tran, D. Systematic Mn [D-Configuration](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.55.8726) Change in the  $La_{1-x}CaxMnO_3$  System: A Mn K-Edge XAS Study. *Phys. Rev. B* 1997, *55* (14), 8726−8732.

(89) Mandal, T. K.; Croft, M.; Hadermann, J.; Van Tendeloo, G.; Stephens, P. W.; Greenblatt, M. La<sub>2</sub>MnVO<sub>6</sub> Double Perovskite: A Structural, Magnetic and X-Ray Absorption [Investigation.](https://doi.org/10.1039/b823513a) *J. Mater. Chem.* 2009, *19* (25), 4382.

(90) Mandal, T. K.; Poltavets, V. V.; Croft, M.; Greenblatt, M. Synthesis, Structure and Magnetic [Properties](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2008.04.038) of  $A_2MnB'O_6$  (A = Ca, <span id="page-18-0"></span>Sr; B′=Sb, Ta) Double [Perovskites.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2008.04.038) *J. Solid State Chem.* 2008, *181* (9), 2325−2331.

(91) Retuerto, M.; Li, M.-R.; Go, Y. B.; Ignatov, A.; Croft, M.; Ramanujachary, K. V.; Hadermann, J.; Hodges, J. P.; Herber, R. H.; Nowik, I.; Greenblatt, M. Magnetic and [Structural](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic301550m?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Studies of the Multifunctional Material [SrFe0.75Mo0.25O3](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic301550m?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)−*δ*. *Inorg. Chem.* 2012, *51* (22), 12273−12280.

(92) Veith, G. M.; Greenblatt, M.; Croft, M.; Ramanujachary, K. V.; Hattrick-Simpers, J.; Lofland, S. E.; Nowik, I. [Synthesis](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm048839r?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Characterization of  $Sr_3FeMoO_{6.88}$ : An [Oxygen-Deficient](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm048839r?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) 2D Analogue of the Double Perovskite [Sr2FeMoO6.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm048839r?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Mater.* 2005, *17* (10), 2562−2567.

(93) Shannon, R. D. Revised Effective Ionic Radii and [Systematic](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0567739476001551) Studies of Interatomic Distances in Halides and [Chalcogenides.](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0567739476001551) *Acta Cryst. A* 1976, *32* (5), 751−767.

(94) Greedan, J. E. [Geometrically](https://doi.org/10.1039/b003682j) Frustrated Magnetic Materials. *J. Mater. Chem.* 2001, *11* (1), 37−53.

(95) Joy, P. A.; Kumar, P. S. A.; Date, S. K. The [Relationship](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/10/48/024) between Field-Cooled and [Zero-Field-Cooled](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/10/48/024) Susceptibilities of Some Ordered [Magnetic](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/10/48/024) Systems. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 1998, *10* (48), 11049−11054.

(96) Buschow, K. H. J.; De Boer, F. R. *Physics of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*; Springer US: Boston, MA, 2003. .

(97) Balents, L. Spin Liquids in [Frustrated](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08917) Magnets. *Nature* 2010, *464* (7286), 199−208.

(98) Mandal, T. K.; Abakumov, A. M.; Lobanov, M. V.; Croft, M.; Poltavets, V. V.; Greenblatt, M. [Synthesis,](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm800583e?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Structure, and Magnetic Properties of SrLaMnSbO<sub>6</sub>: A New *B* -Site Ordered Double [Perovskite.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm800583e?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Mater.* 2008, *20* (14), 4653−4660.

(99) Tummino, M. L. SrFe $O<sub>3</sub>$  Peculiarities and [Exploitation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crgsc.2022.100339) in Decontamination Processes and [Environmentally-Friendly](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crgsc.2022.100339) Energy [Applications.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crgsc.2022.100339) *Current Research in Green and Sustainable Chemistry* 2022, *5*, No. 100339.

(100) Li, M. R.; Retuerto, M.; Go, Y. B.; Emge, T. J.; Croft, M.; Ignatov, A.; Ramanujachary, K. V.; Dachraoui, W.; Hadermann, J.; Tang, M. B.; Zhao, J. T.; Greenblatt, M. Crystal [Structure,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2012.07.038) and Properties of KSbO<sub>3</sub>-Type Bi<sub>3</sub>Mn<sub>1.9</sub>Te<sub>1.1</sub>O<sub>11</sub>. *J. Solid State Chem.* 2013, *197*, 543−549.

(101) Denev, S. A.; Lummen, T. T. A.; Barnes, E.; Kumar, A.; Gopalan, V. Probing [Ferroelectrics](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1551-2916.2011.04740.x) Using Optical Second Harmonic [Generation.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1551-2916.2011.04740.x) *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 2011, *94* (9), 2699−2727.